

*Typological Implications of Kalam Predictable Vowels**

Juliette Blevins

Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary
Anthropology

Andrew Pawley

The Australian National University

Abstract

Kalam is a Trans New Guinea language spoken in the Bismarck-Schrader Ranges in Madang Province, Papua New Guinea. Kalam sound patterns are of interest in presenting two distinct vowel types: full vowels /a, e, o/, which are of relatively long duration and stressed, and reduced central vowels, which are shorter, often unstressed, and occur predictably within word-internal consonant clusters and in monoconsonantal utterances. The predictable nature of the reduced vowels has led earlier researchers, e.g. Biggs (1963), Pawley (1966), to suggest that they are a non-phonemic 'consonant release' feature, leading to lexical representations with long consonant strings and vowelless words. Here we compare Kalam to other languages with similar sound patterns and assess the implications for phonological theory. We show that Kalam word-internal reduced vowels do not clearly match either of the two types of 'inserted' vowels recognized by Hall (2006), namely 'epenthetic' (inserted to repair illicit phonological structures) and 'intrusive' (vowel-like transitions between consonants). This mismatch is not surprising, since Hall's 'intrusive' vowels have origins in retimings of existing articulatory gestures, while Kalam predictable vowels, as we show, are historical remnants of vowel reduction/deletion processes. A striking feature of languages with remnant vowels is the existence of long strings of consonants. This property is not shared by any of Hall's intrusive vowel languages, nor by languages used to exemplify classical epenthetic vowels. We suggest that future work on predictable vowels explore the extent to which clusters of properties are explained by evolutionary pathways.

Keywords: Kalam, typology, vowel epenthesis, vowel intrusion, vowel reduction, vowel-zero alternations, rule inversion, historical vowel loss, consonant clusters, vowelless words.

1. Introduction

This paper presents an analysis of predictable vowels in Kalam, a Trans New Guinea language of the Bismarck and Schrader Ranges in Madang Province, Papua New Guinea. Kalam sound patterns are of interest in presenting two distinct vowel types: full vowels /a, e, o/, which are of relatively long duration and always stress-bearing, and predictable vowels, which occur word-internally between consonants and in monoconsonantal utterances. Predictable vowels, in contrast to full vowels, are short, have contextually predictable qualities, are only stressed in certain positions, and alternate with zero in certain contexts. Here we compare Kalam predictable vowels to similar sound patterns in other languages and assess the implications for phonological theory.

In a recent treatment of inserted vowels, Hall (2006) presents a two-way classification based on phonological status and distribution: "epenthetic" vowels are phonologically visible and serve to repair illicit phonotactics; "intrusive" vowels are phonologically invisible, and can be viewed as predictable transitions from one consonant to another. One central finding is that Kalam predictable vowels do not fit neatly into this classification: they have some properties of epenthetic vowels and other properties of intrusive vowels. If, as argued here, Kalam predictable vowels are treated as non-lexical, lexical representations will contain long strings of consonants, and even vowelless words. Our suggestion is that the seemingly mixed typological status of Kalam predictable vowels and the long strings of consonant found in the lexicon are both related to the historical origins of these enigmatic vowels. In Kalam, and other languages with similar sound patterns, synchronic vowel insertion results from inversion of historical vowel reduction and loss. Historical rule inversion can result in vowels whose gestural properties are similar to those of intrusive vowels, but whose distribution likens them to epenthetic vowels. The reduction

and loss of all but a single stressed vowel within the phrase or word gives rise to characteristically long consonant strings in the lexicon.

Section 2 begins with an overview of predictable vowels, and reviews Hall's (2006) typology. Section 3 provides an overview of Kalam sound patterns, and provides a detailed description of Kalam predictable vowels. These vowels fail to fit into the simple two-way classification proposed by Hall, and motivate a reconsideration of the typology of inserted vowels in terms of multiple pathways of evolution. For Kalam, we demonstrate that many synchronic predictable vowels are the remnants of historical vowel reduction and deletion. At the same time, synchronic patterns show predictable vowels in non-historic positions, suggesting a reanalysis of historical vowel reduction/deletion as synchronic insertion. Section 4 highlights other languages with predictable vowels similar to those in Kalam. Historical explanations best account for the mixed set of synchronic phonological properties they exhibit, including long consonant clusters and vowelless words.

2. Predictable vowels

In many languages, sound patterns are characterized by predictable vowels within the phonological word or phrase. Predictable vowels are those whose quality, quantity and position can be determined from phonological context.¹ In most languages, predictable vowels alternate with zero in at least some contexts, motivating vowel insertion processes within generative accounts, and constraints yielding surface vowels within optimality accounts.²

There are many different types of predictable vowels. One way of classifying these is by relevant phonological domain or context as in (1). In this classification, three types of predictable vowels are distinguished: those based on the form of phonological words (1i), syllables (1ii) or consonants (1iii), respectively.

(1) Three types of predictable vowels, classified by phonological domain

i. Word-based: Word-final schwa (= 'e') in Eastern and Central Arrernte (Henderson and Dobson 1994)

- | | |
|---------------------------|--|
| a. <i>ake</i> | 'head' (cf. <i>ak-urrkng</i> 'brain'; <i>ak-aparte</i> 'mind, thinking, memory', etc.) |
| b. <i>alkng</i> | 'eye' (cf. <i>alkng-ulty</i> 'tears'; <i>alkng-intyeme</i> 'look out of the corner of your eye') |
| c. <i>ime</i> | 'corpse; someone who is going to die soon' (cf. <i>im-atyewennge</i> 'a curse of death') |
| d. <i>parrike</i> | 'fence' (< English 'paddock') |
| e. <i>thayete, thayte</i> | 'area, side' (< English 'side') |
| f. <i>pwelerte</i> | 'really fast' (< English 'bullet') |

ii. Syllable-based: Cluster-splitting central vowel in Mapuche (Smeets 2008)

- | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| g. kiθa'wɪ̃nmun | /kiθaw-ñmu-n/ | 'I worked for my own pleasure' (Smeets 2008:51) |
| h. le'fɪ̃n | /lef-n/ | 'I ran' (Smeets 2008:51) |
| i. kɪ̃lafo | | 'nail' < Spanish <i>clavo</i> (Smeets 2008:57) |
| j. fɪ̃laŋ | | 'white' < Spanish <i>blanco</i> (Smeets 2008:57) |

iii. Consonant-based: Schwa or copy-vowel in CC clusters with /h/, /ɣ/ or /ŋ/ in Sye (Crowley 1998:14)

- | | | |
|---|-----------|----------------------|
| k. [nehkil] ~ [nehəkil] ~ [neh ^ə kil] | /nehkil/ | 'snake' |
| l. [moɣpon] ~ [moɣəpon] ~ [moɣ ^o pon] | /moɣpon/ | 'his/her grandchild' |
| m. [jaɣpon] ~ [jaɣəpon] | /jaɣpon/ | 'egret' |
| n. [elyavi] ~ [eləɣavi] ~ [el ^ə ɣavi] | /elyavi/ | 'hold it' |
| o. [nempŋon] ~ [nempəŋon] ~ [nemp ^ə ŋon] | /nempŋon/ | 'time' |
| p. [ɣandŋi] ~ [ɣandəŋi] | /ɣanŋi/ | '(s)he will hear it' |

In (1i), words from Eastern and Central Arrernte are represented in the native orthography. In many Australian languages, including Arrernte, all phonological words end in vowels.³ In Eastern and Central Arrernte (1i), words end in a schwa-like vowel (spelled 'e'), though this schwa is not found medially before another vowel (1a-c). Final schwa in Arrernte is a predictable feature of phonological words, and this sound pattern characterizes loan words as well (1d-f). Word-final inserted vowels are often referred to as paragogic vowels.

A better studied epenthesis type is that triggered by constraints on syllable structure.⁴ In many languages, the maximal word-medial syllable is CV(V)C, where onsets and codas constitute single consonants. If morphology or syntax yields consonant clusters which cannot be syllabified in this way, predictable epenthetic vowels surface (Ito 1989; Blevins 1995:224-27). Well studied examples of this kind include the Yawelmani dialect of Yokuts (see footnote 4), and a range of Semitic languages (Rose 2000), including many Arabic dialects (Selkirk 1981; Broselow 1982, 1992; Kiparsky 2003). The data in (1ii) is from Mapuche (aka Araucanian, Mapudungan), an isolate of Chile. In Mapuche, a high central vowel is obligatorily inserted in triconsonantal clusters (1g), and in word-final biconsonantal clusters (1h), and can be stressed as in these examples (Smeets 2008:51). Due to this process, all suffixes of the form -C- or -CC... can be seen as having two allomorphs: one with an initial high central vowel, occurring after C-final stems, and one without, occurring elsewhere. As shown in (1i,j), epenthesis is also apparent in Spanish loans which do not conform to the maximal Mapuche CV(V)C syllable template.⁵

The least studied patterns of predictable vowels are those which can be linked to consonant transitions. Transition vowels have been referred to variously as excrescent, intrusive, invisible, morales, paragogic, parasitic, svarabhakti, transitional, or weightless (Harms 1976; Levin 1987; Dell and Elmedlaoui 1996a; Warner *et al.* 2001; Hall 2006). In some languages, like Piro (Matteson 1965:32-22) and Imdlawn Tashlhiyt Berber (Dell and Elmedlaoui 1985), these fleeting vowels are interpreted as phonetic realizations of syllabic consonants. Even so, one characteristic which sets them apart from predictable vowels of the type illustrated in (1i) and (1ii) is a clear dependency between phonetic vowel quality and the quality of adjacent consonants (Dell and Elmedlaoui 1996b; Coleman 2001). The association of vowels of this sort with consonant transitions is clear in the data from Sye (Erromangan), an Oceanic language of Vanuatu in (1iii) (Crowley 1998:14). As illustrated in (1iii), the predictable vowel is found between /h/ or /ɣ/ and a following consonant (1k-n), or between /ɣ/ or /ŋ/ and a preceding consonant (1o-p); the predictable vowel is schwa in free variation with zero, or a copy of a mid vowel in a preceding syllable. Main stress in Sye is penultimate, but these predictable transition vowels are never stressed, and do not count for the purposes of stress assignment.

Another way of classifying predictable vowels like those in (1) is by their phonological status. Vowels which function as syllabic nuclei for phonological processes are placed in one category, while those which do not appear to play any active role in the phonology are placed in another (Harms 1976; Levin 1987; Warner *et al.* 2001; Hall 2006). Hall's (2006) recent cross-linguistic survey of 'inserted vowels', absent lexically, but present on the surface, is a prime example of this type of classification. Inserted vowels are divided into two basic types: *epenthetic vowels* and *intrusive vowels*. Intrusive vowels are phonetic transitions between consonants and are generally phonologically invisible. In contrast, epenthetic vowels are not simple phonetic transitions, and are phonologically visible. Intrusive vowels do not seem to have the function of repairing universally rare or "marked" structures (3e), while epenthetic vowels do function in this way (2d). The full range of properties generally associated with each predictable vowel type is given in (2) and (3) from Hall (2006:391).

(2) Some properties of epenthetic (phonologically visible) vowels

- a. The vowel's quality may be fixed or copied from a neighboring vowel. A fixed-quality epenthetic vowel does not have to be schwa.
- b. If the vowel's quality is copied, there are no restrictions as to which consonants may be copied over.
- c. The vowel's presence is not dependent on speech rate.
- d. The vowel repairs a structure that is marked, in the sense of being cross-linguistically rare. The same structure is also likely to be avoided by means of other processes within the same language.

(3) Some properties of intrusive (phonologically invisible) vowels

- a. The vowel's quality is either schwa, a copy of a nearby vowel or influenced by the place of the surrounding consonants.
- b. If the vowel copies the quality of another vowel over an intervening consonant, that consonant is a sonorant or a guttural.
- c. The vowel generally occurs in heterorganic clusters.
- d. The vowel is likely to be optional, have a highly variable duration or disappear at fast speech rates.
- e. The vowel does not seem to have the function of repairing illicit structures. The consonant clusters in which the vowel occurs may be less marked, in terms of sonority sequencing, than clusters which surface without vowel insertion in the same language.

In addition to offering new diagnostics for intrusive vowels, Hall (2006) provides new evidence that intrusive vowels are not phonological units and do not form syllable nuclei at any level of representation. An additional claim is that three general properties of intrusive vowels follow from the characterization of vowel intrusion in terms of abstract articulatory gestures within the model of Articulatory Phonology (Browman and Goldstein 1986, 1992). By treating intrusive vowels as retimings of existing articulatory gestures without addition of a vowel articulation, their quality (copy vowels or schwa-like), distribution (typically restricted to heterorganic clusters), and variability (likely to be absent in fast speech) is accounted for. In contrast, epenthetic vowels are those which add a vowel articulation to the gestural score. To relate these two kinds of predictable vowels, Hall (2006:422-23) invokes diachrony. The general claim is that intrusive vowels may become phonologized, and in doing so, shift from intrusive to epenthetic over time.

While it is clear that many intrusive and epenthetic vowels have their origins in this sort of articulatory retiming and subsequent phonologization, other well known pathways exist for the evolution of predictable synchronic vowel-zero alternations. Perhaps the best known, discussed further in section 4, is the process of historical vowel loss. Regular vowel loss yields vowel-zero alternations which can be reinterpreted as insertions via rule-inversion. A simple case of this kind is found in Manam, an Oceanic language of Manam Island of the north New Guinea coast, as analyzed by Lichtenberk (1983:35-39). In Manam, /i/-epenthesis occurs when an adnominal suffix is added to a consonant-final stem: *tama-gu* 'my father' but *tamim-i-gu* 'my urine', where the bold *i* is epenthetic. Historically, word-final high vowels /i/ and /u/ were lost after nasals: *tamimi > *tamim* 'urine'. However, when this form was suffixed, the high vowel was protected and retained, as in the reflex of *tamimi-gu. This vowel-zero alternation was reanalyzed as /i/-insertion, a fact evident in *u-final stems: from Proto-Oceanic *danum 'water', Manam *daŋ* < *danu, *mata-daŋ* 'tears (eye-water)', but *mata-daŋ-i-gu* 'my tears' with reanalysed epenthetic *i*, not ***mata-daŋ-u-gu*.

If articulatory retiming is not the sole source of predictable vowels, and, if clusters of properties exhibited by predictable vowels are, in part, attributable to their source, then we should not be surprised if Hall's synchronic typology appears incomplete. Given other pathways of synchronic vowel-zero alternations, like the inversion of vowel deletion sketched above, we might expect other predictable vowel types, with a mix of the properties in (2) and (3), or with additional properties of their own. In the remainder of this paper, we describe and analyze predictable vowels which do not fit neatly into Hall's typology. The sound patterns of interest are found in Kalam, a language of Papua New Guinea, and described in detail in section 3. In Kalam, predictable vowels have the properties shown in (4), where 'E' indicates a property associated with Hall's epenthetic class, 'I' a characteristic of Hall's intrusive class, and 'N' a new property not clearly associated with either of Hall's predictable vowel types.

(4) Some properties of Kalam predictable vowels

- I a. The vowel's quality is either central, a copy of a nearby vowel or influenced by the quality of surrounding consonants.
- E b. If the vowel's quality is copied over an intervening consonant, that consonant need not be a sonorant or guttural.
- E c. The vowel's presence is not dependent on speech rate.
- E d. The vowel does not generally occur in heterorganic clusters; it often occurs between homorganic consonants, including identical consonants.

- I e. The vowel does not seem to have the general function of repairing illicit structures.
- E f. The vowel is phonologically visible, since it can carry word stress.
- N g. The vowel's presence may be associated with consonant release.
- N h. Lexical/underlying forms without predictable vowels may contain long strings of consonants, and may lack vowels altogether.

In section 4 we note other languages with similar predictable vowels, and suggest how some of the properties in (4) can be explained in terms of parallel historical developments.

3. The Kalam language

Kalam is spoken by about 20,000 people living around the junction of the Bismarck and Schrader Ranges on the northern fringes of the central highlands of Papua New Guinea. Most Kalam speakers live in the high, mountainous valleys of the upper Simbai, Kaironk and Asai Rivers, and on the northern slopes of the Jimi Valley adjacent to the Kaironk and Simbai Valleys. Most of the Kalam-speaking area is in Madang Province, though on the southern fall of the Bismarck Range, Kalam speakers are found in the Western Highlands Province as well.

Kalam is one of two members of the Kalamic group, the other being Kobon. Kobon is spoken by approximately 10,000 people just west of the Kalam area, in the Schrader Ranges. The Kalamic group, in turn, is a branch of the Madang group of approximately 100 languages, itself a subgroup of the large Trans New Guinea family.

The two major dialects of Kalam are *Etp Mnm* ('Etp language') and *Ti Mnm* ('Ti language'), where *etp* and *ti* are the words for 'what?' in the respective dialects.⁶ Data cited below is from *Etp Mnm* unless noted otherwise. Where dialect differences are relevant to the discussion, they are noted in the text. After glosses, (PL) indicates a word from 'Pandanus Language', whose distinctive lexicon is used in certain ritually dangerous contexts⁷, (T) indicates a Ti Mnm form, and (L) a loan from Tok Pisin or English.

Compared to most languages of the Trans New Guinea family, Kalam is well studied. Descriptions include Biggs' (1963) initial phonemic analysis, focusing on the vowel system, Pawley's (1966) grammar of *Etp Mnm*, a Kalam-English and English-Kalam dictionary (Pawley and Bulmer 2003), discussion of orthographic issues (Scholz 1976, 1995), various studies of syntax, semantics and speech processing (e.g. Givón 1990, 1991, Kias and Scholz 1991, Pawley 1987, 1992, 1993, 1994, 2008a, in press a, b, c; Pawley and Lane 1998; Pawley *et al.* 2000; Lane 2007), and a number of ethnographic and ethnohistorical studies, including Majnep and Bulmer (1977, 1983, 1990, 2007). Historical work is much less extensive, but includes a first reconstruction of Proto Kalamic phonology and lexicon by Coberly (2002) and some wider comparative studies which touch on Kalam historical phonology and subgrouping (Pawley and Osmond 1998, Pawley 2000, 2001, Pawley 2008b).

3.1 An overview of Kalam phonology

In this section we provide an overview of the segment inventory, syllable structure, and stress patterns of Kalam as background to our analysis of predictable vowels. Our treatment of Kalam segmental phonology follows closely that of Pawley (1966). The segmental phonemes include 16 consonants, shown in (5), and 3 vowels, as in (6).⁸

(5) Kalam consonant phonemes

	bilabial	dental-alveolar	palatalized dental	palatal	velar	labio-velar
voiceless stops	p	t	c		k	
voiceless fricative		s				
voiced prenasalized stops	b	d	j		g	
nasals stops	m	n	ɲ		ŋ	
lateral			l			
semivowels				y		w

(6) Kalam vowel phonemes

	front	central	back
	(i)		(u)
mid	e		o
low		a	

The symbols in (5) and (6) are those of the practical orthography used by Pawley and Bulmer (2003), and we use them here as well to facilitate look-up of dictionary forms. Departures from approximate IPA values include: /c/ and /j/ for palatalized dentals; voiced symbols /b/, /d/, /j/, /g/ for prenasalized stops; /y/ for the palatal glide; and an acute accent over a vowel to mark stress. All non-sonorant consonants have a range of allophones. In word-initial position, they have close to their IPA values (modulo the notes above); in word-medial positions there is intervocalic voicing and spirantization of the /p, t, k/ series; and in word-final position, the voiced prenasalized series is devoiced. When phonetic, as opposed to phonological, transcriptions are given, these are enclosed in square brackets, and make use of IPA symbols.

While the consonant system is relatively straightforward, a few notes are in order concerning the vowel system in (6). Though only three underlying vowels are posited, as shown in Table 1, on the surface there is a six way contrast of vowel quality in CVC monosyllables. Following Pawley (1966), the high vowels *i* and *u* are analyzed either as vocalized instances of /y/ or /w/, or as predictable vowels whose quality is determined by a preceding or following /y/, /w/, or palatalized dental consonant. When glides are vocalized, the resulting high vowels are heard and transcribed as half long (and stressed) [í·], [ú·], like the full vowels /a/, /e/, /o/, transcribed as [á·], [é·], [ó·]. When surface [i], [u] are the result of predictable vowel insertion, they are heard and transcribed as short, and can be unstressed. We follow Pawley's (1966) analysis here, treating only /y/ and /w/ as underlying, and justifying this below. However, we emphasize that the central argument for Kalam predictable vowels as a novel type, with origins in vowel reduction/deletion, is independent of whether some instances of surface [i] and [u] derive from underlying vowels, or not.⁹

Table 1 shows that, in addition to a contrast between /a/, /e/, /o/ and the vocalized glides /y/, /w/, there is a surface high central short [i], with a word-final [ə] allophone, and other qualities (e.g. [i], [u], [o], [ə]) under optional assimilation to neighboring segments. This high central vowel occurs predictably between consonants in words like /kn/ 'sleep'. Predictable vowels of this kind are the focus of this study, with their quality and distribution detailed below. However, before turning to characteristics of predictable vowels, we briefly justify the analysis of the vowel system in (6).

Surface quality	[a]	[e]	[o]	[i]	[u]	[i], [ə]*
In C_C context	[ká·n]	[ké·n]	[kó·n]	[kí·n]	[kú·n]	[k'ín]
Phonemic form	/kan/	/ken/	/kon/	/kyn/	/kwn/	/kn/
gloss	'dodge'	'ko yam'	'Jimi River'	'tree fern'	'like this'	'sleep'

Table 1. Kalam surface vowel contrasts in CVC monosyllables

*[ə] is limited to word-final position

Arguments for the simple vowel system in (6) are based on quantity, distribution and predictability.¹⁰ In general, the vowels /e/, /o/ and /a/, as well as vocalized glides, have longer surface realizations than predictable vowels, and consistently attract word stress. Predictable vowels are shorter and in some contexts are unstressed.

While /e/, /o/, and /a/ are found word-initially in native words, there are no native words beginning with /i/, /u/ or any central vowel. Instead, words may begin with [ji] or [wu] phonetically. Examples of these word-initial patterns are shown in (7).

(7) Kalam word-initial vowels

a.	/e/	/ebap/	[é·mbá·p]	'one, a certain one'
----	-----	--------	-----------	----------------------

b.	/a/	/aj/	[á·ntʰ]	'husband's sister, woman's brother's wife'
c.	/o/	/omŋal/	[ó·mɪŋá·l]	'two'

d.	/y/	/ym/	[jí·m]	'plant crops'
e.	/w/	/wŋ/	[wú·ŋ]	'hair, fur, feathers'
	[i·]	--		
	[u·]	--		
	[i],[ə]	--		

A third argument for the vowel system in (6) is based on the fact that the end of the word shows a complementary pattern to that in (7). If a word begins with a phonetic vowel, it can only begin with [a], [e] or [o] (7), but if a word *ends* in a phonetic vowel as in (8), it cannot end in [a], [e] or [o]. A general feature of the Kalam lexicon is that words end in consonants, including glides /w/, /y/. Representative examples of word-final obstruents, nasals, liquids, and glides are shown in Table 2. Surface exceptions to this generalization, shown in (8), involve either final [i·], [u·] from /y/ and /w/ respectively, or predictable final [ə] in monosyllables. It is only when vowels /e/, /a/, and /o/ are distinguished from vocalized glides and predictable vowels that the consonant-final phonotactic of the language can be viewed as exceptionless, as it is when underlying forms, like those in (8) are adopted.

-p	-b	-s	-n	-l	-y	-w
gap 'star'	kab 'stone'	kas 'hair, fur'	kan 'dodge'	kal 'fierce'	kay 'group, gang'	kaw 'space'
gɛp 'acting'	keb 'ko sweet potato'	kes 'heartburn'	ken 'ko yam'	kel 'ko palm'	key 'separately'	--
gop 'hook'	kob 'ko bird'	kos 'fire-saw'	kon 'Jimi River'	kol 'ko sugar-cane'	koy 'blind'	gow 'ko nest'

Table 2. Examples of Kalam word-final consonants

(8) Kalam word-final surface vowels from underlying C-final words

	Surface	Underlying C-final	gloss
a.	[i·] [á·mí·]	/amy/	'mother'
b.	[u·] [á·lú·]	/alw/	'ko tree, breadfruit'
c.	[i],[ə] [m'ə]	/m/	'taro'

d.	[e·] --	/key/	see Table 2
e.	[o·] --	/koy/, /gow/	see Table 2
	[a·] --	/kay/, /kaw/	see Table 2

A fourth argument for the treatment of /a, e, o/ as underlying vowels and /y/ and /w/ as underlying consonants comes from allomorphy. In Kalam, there two allomorphs of the negative prefix or pro-clitic: /ma-/ and /m-/ 'not, not yet'. The choice of allomorph is phonologically determined: /m-/ occurs before vowels /a, e, o/ and /ma-/ occurs elsewhere: /m-ag-p/ 'he did not speak', /m-ow-p/ 'he has not come', /m-o-ŋ-gab/ 'he will not come', vs. /ma-pkp/ 'it has not struck', /ma-dan/ 'don't touch', /ma-yŋb/ 'it is not cooked', /ma-wkp/ 'it is not cracked'. If instead of /y/ and /w/, /i/ and /u/ were posited, we would have no explanation for the absence of derived /m-i.../ or /m-u.../ forms.

A final argument for the vowel system in (6) involves the distribution of surface hiatus. The only word-internal surface vowel sequences in Kalam are [i:a:], [i:o:], [i:e:], [u:a:], [u:o:], [u:e:], as in: /kyaw/ [kí·á·w] 'ko tree'; /kyep/ [kí·é·p] 'excrement'; /kyon/ [kí·ó·n] 'ko insect'; /kwam/ [kú·á·m] 'ko tree', /kwel/ [kú·é·l] 'ko tree', kuok [kú·ó·k] 'bowl, dish'. In these cases, the long high vowels are surface realizations of vocalized phonemic high glides /y/ and /w/. The absence of all other surface vowel sequences is explained by the fact that, word-internally, no phonological vowel sequences are permitted. Since the predictable central vowel [i] is not underlying, and inserted only between consonants, it never occurs in surface hiatus.

A summary of phonological arguments for distinguishing /a, e, o/ from underlying glides /y,w/ and predictable vowels in Kalam is given in Table 3.

Segment type	Non-predictable vowels			Non-predictable glides		Predictable vowels
Surface vowel	[a·]	[e·]	[o·]	[i·], [ji·]	[u·], [wu·]	[i], [ə]
Phoneme	/a/	/e/	/o/	/y/	/w/	zero
Half-long?	always	always	always	always	always	never
Stressed?	always	always	always	always	always	sometimes
Word-initial?	yes	yes	yes	[ji·] only	[wu·] only	no
Word-final?	no	no	no	yes	yes	[ə] only
Neg. proclitic?	/m-/	/m-/	/m-/	/ma-/	/ma-/	(never word-initial)
Initial in hiatus?	no	no	no	yes	yes	(never word-initial)

Table 3. Distinguishing underlying vowels, glides and predictable vowels

Section 3.2 presents a full synchronic description and analysis of predictable vowels. Their typological status is discussed in 3.3, and their historical development in 3.4. Here, we expand on the basic distributional and qualitative properties of predictable vowels, along with further arguments that predictable vowels are not part of Kalam speakers' lexical representations.

Kalam predictable vowels were analyzed as "non-phonemic" vocoids by Pawley (1966:33ff). Since the position and quality of these vowels was predictable, they were assumed to be absent in underlying representations. Pawley's description of these vowels bears a striking resemblance to aspects of "intrusive" vowels in the sense of Hall (2006):

A vowel occurs predictably between all adjacent consonant phonemes not separated by juncture, or following any consonant which occurs between junctures. Such a vowel is regarded as the release of the preceding consonant. Elsewhere, i.e. in the case of a consonant followed by a vowel, or a final consonant which is not preceded by juncture, consonant release is realized as zero... In most environments the consonant release vocoid is a short high central to mid central unrounded vowel...(Pawley 1966:33).

In addition to the properties listed in (4) and Table 3, predictable vowels have two other notable characteristics which set them apart from other vowels. First, there are many words of four, five and six syllables where the only surface vowels are predictable vowels: /pkpnp/ [ɸ'ɪyɪβɪn'ɪp] 'I could have hit', /mdnkny/ [m'ɪndɪn'ɪyɪnɪŋ] 'while I was staying', /pbtknkn/ [ɸ'ɪmbɪrɪyɪn'ɪyɪnɪŋ] 'while I was fastening'. Second, predictable vowels appear to have the highest frequency of any vowels: a sample count from two Ti Mnm texts with a total of 2088 vowels yields 36.3 % predictable vowels, 32.7 % /a/; 14.5 % /o/; 9.9 % /e/, 4 % /u/ and 2.4 % /i/.

Some predictable vowels have already been exemplified with examples above. The last column of Table 1 shows a high central predictable vowel in /kn/ [k'ɪn] 'sleep'. In (7c) /omŋal/ 'two', a predictable vowel is found within the medial /mŋ/ consonant cluster, [ó·mɪŋá·l], while in (8c) the predictable vowel [ə] surfaces word-finally in /m/ 'taro', [m'ə].

While the properties in Table 3 suggest that predictable vowels have a different phonological status from the vowels /a,e,o/ and the glides /y,w/, it is the distribution, quality, and alternation of predictable vowels with zero that argues most strongly for their absence in underlying forms. These properties are illustrated in (9), where predictable vowels are shown in bold in phonetic transcriptions.

(9) Kalam predictable vowels

	Phonological	Phonetic	
a.	/kd/	[k'ɪnt]	'segment, part'
b.	/kdl/	[kɪnd'ɪl]	'sinew'
c.	/mlp/	[mɪl'ɪp]	'dry'
d.	/mgn/	[mɪŋg'ɪn]	'vulva'
e.	/b/	[mb'ə]	'man' cf. /b-ak/ [mbá·k] 'that man'

f.	/m/	[m´ə]	'taro' cf. /m-aden/ [má·ndé·ŋ] 'single-stemmed taro plant'
g.	/an-ket/	[á·niyé·r]	'whose?', cf. /an/ 'who?', /ket/ 'possessive clitic'
h.	/anwak/	[á·nuwá·k]	'co-wives'
i.	/ap-tan-/	[á·βirá·n]	'rise, climb up', cf. /ap/ 'movement towards a position', /tan/ 'ascend'
j.	/ap-yap/	[á·βijá·p]	'fall, drop', cf. /ap/ 'movement towards a position', /yap/ 'descend'
k.	/as-ket/	[á·ské·r],[á·siyé·r]	'ko leech', cf. /as/ 'frog', /ket/ 'possessive clitic'
l.	/as-wad/ <i>but</i>	[á·swá·nt],[á·suwá·nt]	'dewlap (frog, lizard, etc.)', cf. /as/ 'frog', /wad/ 'bag'
m.	/aypot/	[á·jβó·r]	'agamid lizard'
n.	/ay-may/	[á·jmá·j]	'two sisters, pair of sisters', cf. /ay/ 'sister'
o.	/kayŋay/ ¹¹	[ká·jiŋá·j]	'ko tree'
p.	/awleg/	[á·wlé·ŋk]	'tadpole'
q.	/kaw-bap/	[ká·wmbá·p]	'several, a few', cf. /kaw/ 'several'
r.	/kowñak/ ¹²	[kó·wunjá·k]	'ko yam'

Monomorphemic words are shown in (9a-f). In (9a-d) a predictable vowel occurs between consonants within the word. When there is no glide or palatal consonant to color the predictable vowel, it typically surfaces, as in these examples, as a neutral central high vowel [i].¹³

In (9e-f) a predictable vowel occurs as schwa in monoconsonantal words. Note that when these same monoconsonantal stems occur in morphologically complex words followed by vowels, the predictable vowels do not surface, as in [mbá·k] 'that man' from /b-ak/, or [mbá·ndé·ŋ] 'single-stemmed taro plant' from /m-aden/. If underlying central vowels were posited for these stems, they would constitute a true anomaly in the language: they would be the only vowel-final lexemes, and they would be the only words with underlying central vowels.

Morphologically complex words are shown in (9g-j). For each pair (9g-h) and (9i-j) the initial morphemes /an/, /ap/, can occur in isolation. In isolation, each word is pronounced without a final vowel: [á·n], [á·p]. However, in line with Pawley's description above, a predictable vowel occurs between adjacent consonants within the word when these morphemes are followed by consonants. Furthermore, the predictable vowel can vary in quality, depending on surrounding consonants and vowels: compare [i] in (9g,i) with [u] before /w/ in (9h) and [i] before /y/ in (9j). Finally, the predictable vowel is optional if the underlying sequence is ...Vs-CV... (9k,l), and generally absent if the sequence is ...VG-CV..., where G is the glide /y/ (9m,n) or /w/ (9p,q). This pattern is robust across the entire language: whenever two intervocalic consonants come together within a word, and the first is not a glide or /s/, a predictable vowel is present on the surface, though the same vowel is absent when the first morpheme occurs word-finally. If /an/, /ap/, and every other consonant-final morpheme in the language were analyzed instead as vowel final (/ani/, /api/, etc.) we would face similar anomalies to those noted above for a final underlying schwa: with the exception of glide-final words, all words would end in central vowels, though these vowels would delete in word-final position; no words would end in vowels /a,e,o/; and there would be no relationship between the predictable quality of these vowels and their distributional regularities.

Further evidence for the non-lexical status of Kalam predictable vowels can be found in loan word phonology and orthographic practice. Only a process of synchronic vowel insertion can account for the appearance of predictable vowels in loans.¹⁴ Some loans from Tok Pisin and English are given in (10), with predictable vowels in bold. In (10a-e), the loan source has a word-internal consonant sequence, which, as in the native vocabulary, appears to be broken up by a predictable vowel. In (10f), VyCV and VsCV sequences are not split by an epenthetic vowel, in line with the generalization above that predictable vowels are optional in these contexts.

(10) Kalam predictable vowels in loans

- a. /alpim/ [á·lɪβi·m] 'help someone' < Tok Pisin *helpim*
 b. /balayn/ [mbá·lá·jín] 'woven wall or floor covering' < Tok Pisin *plang*
 c. /dokta/ [ndó·ɣírá·] 'doctor' < Tok Pisin *dokta*
 d. /gapman/ [ŋgá·βimá·n] 'government' < Tok Pisin *gavman*
 e. /spet/ [sɪβé·r] 'spade' < Tok Pisin *spet*
 but
 f. /aybiskes/ [á·ymbi·ské·s] '*Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*' < English *hibiscus*

In addition to evidence from native sound patterns and loan vocabulary, there is some complementary evidence from native speaker intuitions for the non-lexical status of predictable vowels.¹⁵ Few Kalam are literate in their own language, writing to each other mainly in Tok Pisin or English. Some have learnt to read the orthography developed by the Summer Institute of Linguistics team (Scholz 1976). This orthography is used in the Kalam translation of the New Testament and in texts used in Anglican church services. The SIL orthography uses *i* for the predictable vowel, while writing the vowel /i//as *iy*. However, those few literate Kalam who have been regularly exposed to the orthography used here which lacks predictable vowels, have had little difficulty using it. The most prolific native-speaking Kalam writer we know of, the late Saem Majnep, wrote hundreds of pages in Kalam¹⁶ and was comfortable with this orthography. Of special interest here is the fact that Majnep had no particular problems with the lack of vowels in words like /kd/ 'segment, part', /mnm/ 'speech, language', /b/ 'man', or /m/ 'taro'. Writing words with phonetic [u] and [i] with /w/ and /y/ respectively did not cause any problems either. We view this as additional evidence that predictable vowels are not part of lexical phonological representations in Kalam. A fuller description and analysis of Kalam predictable vowels is provided in 3.2. The basic properties noted above allow us to provide a brief overview of syllable structure and stress patterns in the paragraphs that follow.

Syllable structure in Kalam is maximally CVC, and minimally V. The full range of syllable types is illustrated in Table 4. As discussed above, underlyingly words are C-final; therefore, V and CV syllables are not found word-finally in the lexicon. Vowel initial V and VC syllables (where V = /a/, /e/, or /o/) are common word-initially, but not found medially in underlying forms. (Recall that glide vocalization can result in V-initial surface syllables, as in /kyep/ [kí·é·p] 'excrement'). There are also very few words with underlying medial CV syllables in ...V.CV.CV... strings: it is likely that most sequences of open syllables have undergone historical syncope of *VCVCV > VCCV (see section 4). Compare, for example, conservative Ti Mnm *pa.to.daj* 'far, in the across-river direction', shown in the word-medial column of Table 4, and its reduced Etp Mnm counterpart /patdoŋ/ [pá·rindó·ŋ].

SYLLABLE TYPE	VOWEL	WORD-INITIAL	WORD-MEDIAL	WORD-FINAL
V	a	a.leb 'tongue'	--	--
	e	e.ñap 'a bit'	--	--
	o	o.nep 'precisely'	--	--
CV	a	ka.may 'ko tree'	ko.la.leg 'ko bird'	--
	e	ko.dal 'centipede'	pa.to.daj 'far across river' (T)	--
	o	pe.sel 'ko herb'	a.ge.nak 'when you said'	--
VC	a	aw.lan 'ko ginger'	--	aj 'husband's sister'
	e	ed.mas.ta 'headmaster' (L)	--	et 'what?' (var. /etp/)
	o	op.tin 'can-opener' (L)	--	ok 'the, this, that'
CVC		kay.nam 'ko grass'	ka.may.gis 'ko bird'	kay.nam 'ko grass'
		key.kal 'ko yam'	kob.kaw.nan 'ko spider'	key.kal 'ko yam'
		koy.manj 'coconut palm'	ko.dal.nop 'scorpion'	kab dpyn 'I've taken the stone' [ka·mpdiβín]

Table 4. Kalam syllable types

As noted earlier, in word-medial intervocalic CC clusters where the first C is not a glide or /s/, a consonant is released, with a predictable vowel appearing. The forms in Table 4 with medial C.C clusters do not show predictable vowels, and were chosen to illustrate unambiguous phonological and phonetic CVC syllable types. Predictable vowels are absent in these forms either because the first consonant is a glide (*kay.nam*), or because there is a phonological word-boundary between the consonants: e.g. *kodal nop* 'scorpion', from /kodal/ 'centipede', /nop/ 'father'; *kab dpyn* [kamp.di.βɪn] from /kab/ 'stone', /dpyn/ 'I have taken'. In this latter example, the word-final position of /kab/ is evident both in the absence of a predictable vowel before the next consonant, and in the final-devoicing of /b/.

A final aspect of Kalam sound patterns that needs to be introduced is word stress. Every Kalam phonological word has at least one word stress, and many have multiple stresses. There is no clear evidence for primary vs. secondary stress within the word. Basic rules of stress placement are shown in (11), and stated in terms of vowels as stress-bearing units.¹⁷

(11) Kalam basic stress rules

- i. Stress the last vowel of all words (including monosyllables).
- ii. Stress all full (non-predictable) vowels.
- iii. Stress the first vowel of a word, provided that the next vowel is not stressed.

The rules in (11) are well known from metrical stress theory (Hayes 1995): (11i), which assigns stress to the last stress-bearing unit is 'End-rule final'; (11ii) which assigns stress based on vowel quantity (see Table 3) is an instance of quantity sensitivity, or 'weight-to-stress'; and (11iii) which assigns initial stress is an instance of 'end-rule initial' with clash avoidance. Since predictable vowels may be stressed by (11i) or (11iii), a derivational phonological model must order vowel insertion before stress assignment.

Some derivations illustrating the basic stress rules in (11) are shown in (12), where 'v' represents a predictable vowel, and, following Pawley and Bulmer (2003), all word-stresses are marked with acute accents. Examples in (12a-d) are words with non-predictable (full) vowels only. Examples in (12e-h) are words with only predictable (reduced) vowels. Examples in (12i-l) have a mix of vowel types.

(12) Kalam stress patterns with different word types

	a.	b.	c.	d.
Lexical	/aj/	/ebap/	/kolaleg/	/patayam/
11i	áj	ebáp	kolalég	patayám
11ii	áj	ébáp	kólalég	pátáyám
11iii	--	--	--	--
Surface	[á·ntʰ]	[é·mbá·p]	[kó·lá·lé·ŋk]	[ʰá·rá·já·m]
	'husband's sister'	'one'	'ko bird'	'ko pandanus'
	e.	f.	g.	h.
Lexical	/m/	/kd/	/kdl/	/cmnm/
+ v	mv	kvd	kvdvl	cvmvnm
11i	m'v	k'vd	kvd'vl	cvmvn'vm
11ii	--	--	--	--
11iii	--	--	--	c'vmvn'vm
Surface	[m'ə]	[k'ɪnt]	[kɪnd'ɪl]	[tʰɪmɪn'ɪm]
	'taro'	'segment'	'sinew'	'ko tree'
	i.	j.	k.	l.
Lexical	/kabs/	/ksen/	/klɲan/	/bemlgon/ (T)
+ v	kabvs	kvsen	kvlvɲan	bemvlgon
11i	kab'vs	kvsén	kvlvɲán	bemvlgón
11ii	káb'vs	--	kvlvɲán	bémvlgón
11iii	--	--	k'vlvɲán	(n.a.)
Surface	[ká·mb'is]	[kisén]	[k'ɪlɲá·n]	[mbé·mɪlɲgó·n]
	'cleft stick'	'new, fresh'	'ko snake'	'group of cross-cousins'

The rules in (11) account for the majority of surface stress patterns, including inflected verbs and compounds.¹⁸ Two examples of stress assignment in morphologically complex words are shown in (13): (13a) is an inflected verb, and (13b) is a compound.

(13) Kalam inflected verbs and compounds

	a.	b.
Lexical	/pk-p-n-p/	/wj+blp/
+v	pvkvpvnvp	wvjvblvp
11i	p'vkvpvn'vp	wvjvbl'vp
11ii	--	--
11iii	p'vkvpvn'vp	w'vjvbl'vp
Surface	[ɸ'ɪyɪβin'ɪp]	[wɪ·nd'ɪmbɪl'ɪp], [wú·nd'ɪmbɪl'ɪp], [w'y·nd'ɪmbɪl'ɪp]
	'I could have hit', /pk/'hit'	'bird, bat' (PL), (< /wj/'feather'+ /blp/'slip away'??)

3.2 Kalam predictable vowels

As highlighted earlier, in addition to vocalized glides, two kinds of vowels can be distinguished in Kalam: full vowels /a, e, o/ which are stable, of unpredictable quality, always stressed, of relatively long duration, and limited to word-initial and word-medial position; and predictable vowels. Predictable vowels, as already noted, are of relatively short duration, occur predictably between consonants within the word, never occur word-initially, and are only stressed in final syllables (11i), or in initial syllables preceding unstressed vowels (11iii). In this section we provide further details of the phonology of Kalam predictable vowels. Our aim is to show that Kalam predictable vowels are neither 'epenthetic' nor 'intrusive' in the sense of Hall (2006), and to explain aspects of their mixed status.

3.2.1. Why Kalam predictable vowels are not 'intrusive' vowels. Hall's class of intrusive vowels are phonologically invisible. The most obvious property of Kalam predictable vowels that eliminates them from 'intrusive' vowel candidacy is the fact that they are stressed by the regular stress rules (11i) and (11iii) in word-final and word-initial positions respectively. Examples of stressed intrusive vowels were given in (12) and (13). In (14), some of these are repeated, along with other examples of lexically vowelless words, with stressed intrusive vowels shown in bold. Although it is possible to view all the vowels in (14) as simple transitions from one consonant to the next, it is not possible to analyze all predictable vowels as phonologically invisible, since some of them carry stress.

(14) Stressed predictable vowels

	Lexical	Surface stress	gloss
a.	/kd/	[k'ɪnt]	'segment'
b.	/kdl/	[kɪnd'ɪl]	'sinew'
c.	/cmnm/	[tjɪmɪn'ɪm]	'ko tree'
d.	/pk-p-n-p/	[ɸ'ɪyɪβin'ɪp]	'I could have hit'
e.	/g-n-knɲ/	[ŋgɪn'ɪyɪnɪɲ]	'while I was doing'
f.	/wjblp/	[wú·ndjɪmbɪl'ɪp]	'bird' (PL)

Another aspect of Kalam predictable vowels which would appear to eliminate them from the 'intrusive' vowel class is their dual function. Though in many cases, like (14), they can be viewed as simple transitions from one consonant to the next, this is not the case for all words. In one small class of words, predictable vowels are 'epenthetic', in the sense of serving to repair illicit structures. The small class of words in question, shown in (15), are those that Pawley (1966) analyses as phonologically mono-consonantal.¹⁹

(15) Predictable vowels and the minimal word constraint

		<u>In isolation</u>		<u>In composition</u>	
a.	/b/	'man'	[mb'ə]	/b aden/ 'man alone'	[mbá·ndé·ŋ]
b.	/m/	'taro'	[m'ə]	/m agom/ 'seasoned taro'	[má·ŋgo·m]
c.	/d/	'hold, get'	[nd'ə]	/d am/ 'take' (lit. 'go get)'	[ndá·m]
d.	/g/	'happen'	[ŋg'ə]	/g-ep/ 'doing'	[ŋgé·p]
e.	/ñ/	'fit, give'	[nji]	/ñ-an/ 'put (it) on!'	[njá·n]
f.	/l/	'stabilize'	[l'ə]	/l-an/ 'put (it) down!'	[lá·n] (T)

When these morphemes are uttered as independent phonological words, predictable vowels occur. However, as shown in the last two columns of (15), when these morphemes are part of bigger words and followed immediately by a vowel, the monoconsonantal realization is found. The predictable vowels in isolation forms cannot be interpreted as transitions from one consonant to the next for the simple reason that there is no following consonant. In this case, it seems that what triggers the appearance of the stressed predictable vowel is the constraint, stated in (16), that a minimal word must consist of at least one syllable which itself can carry word stress. Predictable vowels in (15) then serve to bulk subminimal words up to minimal words by adding a final vowel.

(16) Kalam Minimal Word Constraint

Minimal Word = Minimal Foot = σ

As noted in 3.1, accounting for the monosyllabic isolation forms in (15) in terms of predictable vowels seems preferable to positing underlying vowels for three reasons. First, as with other predictable vowels, the quality and position of these vowels is rule-governed. Second, if underlying vowels are posited in these words, they would be the only underlying vowel-final words in the language. Third, if underlying central vowels are posited in these words, they would be the only underlying central vowels in the language. We conclude, then, that the predictable vowels in (15) serve a clear 'repair' function: phonological words consisting of only a single consonant are too small to constitute minimal words, and are bulked up to stressable CV syllables by this process. In these cases, the predictable vowel has properties of an epenthetic vowel, not an intrusive vowel.

Stressability is the most salient property of Kalam predictable vowels that eliminates them from Hall's intrusive vowel category. However, the quality of Kalam predictable vowels is not precisely what one expects under Hall's intrusive classification either. Recall from (3a,b) two heuristics regarding the quality of intrusive vowels, repeated as (17a,b).

Stressability is the most salient property of Kalam predictable vowels that eliminates them from Hall's intrusive vowel category. At the same time, the quality of Kalam predictable vowels is not precisely what one expects under Hall's intrusive classification either. Recall from (3a,b) two heuristics regarding the quality of intrusive vowels, repeated as (17a,b).

(17) Intrusive vowel quality (Hall 2006)

- The vowel's quality is either schwa, a copy of a nearby vowel or influenced by the place of the surrounding consonants.
- If the vowel copies the quality of another vowel over an intervening consonant, that consonant is a sonorant or a guttural.

Kalam predictable vowels are sometimes schwa (15a-d), and sometimes a copy of a nearby vowel, or influenced by the place of surrounding consonants (18i-r): (18i-l) show the regular pattern of palatals triggering a following short [i]; (18m-n) show optional [i] preceding palatals; (18o-q) show [u] after /w/; and (18r) shows optional [u] before /w/. These assimilatory patterns are the expected type for intrusive vowels in line with (17a). However, the most common realization of the Kalam predictable vowel is [i] (18a-d), a high central vowel.²⁰ Further, when the vowel quality is a copy of another vowel over an

intervening consonant, the intervening consonant need not be a sonorant or guttural, as per (17b). Rather, as shown in (18e-h), there are no clear restrictions on the nature of intervening consonants, and full anticipatory vowel copy or harmony is optional, and unbounded within the word (18g).

(18) Kalam predictable vowel quality (Pawley 1966:33-37)

	<u>Lexical</u>	Surface <u>Default [i]</u>	Surface <u>full/partial V-copy</u>	<u>gloss</u>
a.	/mlp/	[mɪl'ɪp]		'dry'
b.	/kdl/	[kɪnd'ɪl]		'sinew'
c.	/mgn/	[mɪŋg'in]		'vulva'
d.	/g-p-n-p/	[ŋgɪβɪn'ɪp]		'I might have done'
e.	/mlwk/	[mɪlú·k]	[mulú·k]	'nose'
f.	/ykop/		[jɔyó·p], [jɐyó·p]	'nothing, without cause'
g.	/kgoŋ/		[kɔŋgó·ŋ], [kɐŋgó·ŋ]	'garden' (PL)
h.	/bkdoŋ/		[mbóyondó·ŋ], [mbɐyɐndó·ŋ]	'yonder across valley'
<u>Assimilation to adjacent C</u>				
i.	/cg/		[tjɪŋk]	'adhere'
j.	/jl/		[ndjɪl]	'concave section'
k.	/ñg/		[nɪjɪŋk]	'water, clear liquid'
l.	/kayŋay/		[ká·jɪŋáj]	'ko tree'
m.	/wcm/		[wí·tjím]	'golden ringtail'
n.	/ap-yap/		[á·βijá·p]	'fall, drop'
o.	/wdn/	[wú·nd'ɪn]	[wú·nd'in]	'eye'
p.	/wlk/	[wú·l'ɪk]	[wú·l'ik]	'mix things together'
q.	/kowñak/		[kó·wunjá·k]	'ko yam'
r.	/an-wak/		[á·nuwá·k]	'co-wives'

A final aspect of Kalam predictable vowels which make them unlike Hall's intrusive vowels is their invariability. In (3d), intrusive vowels are described as "likely to be optional" and "have a highly variable duration or disappear at fast speech rates." However, apart from the VsCV and VGCV contexts discussed in 3.1, Kalam predictable vowels do not show this property. Though they are short, they do not appear to disappear altogether at fast speech rates.

The fact that Kalam predictable vowels can be stressed seems to rule them out as canonical invisible 'intrusive' vowels. Where vowel quality and variability is concerned, Kalam predictable vowels do not pattern with intrusive vowels either. We conclude that Kalam predictable vowels are not instances of intrusive vowels in the sense of Hall (2006).

3.2.2. Why Kalam predictable vowels are not 'epenthetic' vowels. If Kalam predictable vowels are not intrusive vowels, then perhaps they are epenthetic vowels. Recall that epenthetic vowels are phonologically visible. In addition, they serve to repair otherwise illicit structures. The fact that Kalam predictable vowels can be stressed is consistent with phonological visibility. Further, we have seen in (15) that *some* predictable vowels in Kalam serve to repair illicit structures by bulking up subminimal words.

In addition, there is another set of contexts where predictable vowels may be viewed as epenthetic. Recall from our discussion of data in (9) and (10) that, though predictable vowels occur word-internally between nearly all CC sequences, they are rare in VC₁C₂V when C₁ is /y/ or /w/, and optional when C₁ is /s/. However, in C₁C₂ clusters where C₁ is /w/, /y/ or /s/, and one or both of the consonants are unsyllabifiable, a predictable vowel is obligatory. Examples are given in (19), and suggest that, at least in a limited set of contexts, predictable vowels in Kalam are epenthetic in the sense of creating well-formed CV or CVC syllables.

(19) Predictable vowels and well-formed syllables

Predictable vowel	UR	Surface	Derived syllable	Gloss
Obligatory	a. /ss/	[s'is]	CVC	'urine'
Obligatory	b. /ssak/	[sisá·k]	CV	'left unfinished'
Absent	c. /as-set/	[á·ssé·r], [á·sé·r]	--	'ko leech' (/as/ 'frog', /set/ 'leech')
Obligatory	d. /sk/	[s'ik]	CVC	'enter'
Obligatory	e. /skap/	[siká·p]	CV	'wedge'
Obligatory	f. /skask/	[siyá·s'ik]	CV, CVC	'feel something ticklish'
Optional	g. /as-ket/	[á·ské·r], [á·siyé·r]	--, CV	'ko leech' (cf. /as/ 'frog', /ket/ 'possessive clitic')
Obligatory vowel	h. /ym/	[jí·m]	CVC	'plant crops'
Obligatory vowel	i. /yman/	[jí·má·n]	CV	'louse'
Optional vowel	j. /ay-may/	[á·jmá·j]--		'two sisters'
Obligatory vowel	k. /wk/	[wú·k]	CVC	'break open'
Obligatory vowel	l. /wkap/	[wú·yá·p]	CV	'ko tree'
Optional vowel	m. /awleg/	[á·wlé·ŋk]	--	'tadpole'

Given that predictable vowels can be stressed, bulk up subminimal words, and create well-formed syllables, in what way are they not instances of Hall's class of epenthetic vowels? Recall from our earlier discussion that not all predictable vowels appear to serve the bulking or syllabification function. In particular, word-medially in VC₁C₂V strings, a predictable vowel is obligatory between the two consonants, provided that C₁ is not /y/, /w/ or /s/. In this position, they serve neither the bulking function nor the syllabification function, since coda consonants are the norm word-finally: /an/ [á·n] 'who' in isolation, /an kun agak/ [á·nkú·ná·ŋgá·k] 'who said so?' with [nk] in sandhi, but /an-ket/ [á·niyé·r] 'whose?' (9g), /anwak/ [á·nuwá·k] 'co-wives' (9h), where the word-internal CC cluster is split by a predictable vowel.

Though Hall (2006:407) says explicitly that "Epenthesis... is a way of repairing syllables that violate a language's abstract structural rules", she also uses a broader definition where an epenthetic vowel simply "removes a marked structure" (Hall 2006:393). Under this broad definition, Kekchi morphologically conditioned vowel-insertion between C-final roots and certain C-initial verbal suffixes is treated as epenthesis: "CC clusters are avoided in many languages, so the epenthesis removes a marked structure." However, apart from this vowel-insertion process itself, there is no evidence that CC clusters are marked in Kekchi.²² Furthermore, in the majority of languages where CC clusters are avoided, it is possible to restate the generalization in syllabic terms: avoidance of medial CCC and final CC in Yokuts is attributed to a maximal CVC syllable template (see footnote 4). More generally, since this broader definition of epenthesis allows any process of vowel insertion to be functionally defined as epenthesis on the basis of some markedness constraint, it is not particularly useful in distinguishing vowel insertion types. Nevertheless, we briefly consider two ways that all Kalam predictable vowels, including those inserted between medial VCCV clusters, might be analyzed as repairing illicit or marked structures, and show how each falls short of descriptive adequacy.

One possibility is to view Kalam predictable vowels as repairing, not syllable structure, but word-phonotactics. Under this view, Kalam predictable vowels are inserted to eliminate word-internal consonant clusters. A general statement of the constraint is *CC, where the relevant domain is the phonological word.²³ Words illustrating the general nature of this constraint across different consonant types are shown in (20). Wherever possible, underlying VCCV strings are used for illustration, since predictable vowels inserted between clusters in this context cannot be viewed as serving a word-bulking or obligatory syllabification function.

(20) Predictable vowels (in bold> as possible repairs for ill-formed CC sequences

(O = obstruent; R = sonorant; N = nasal; C_i = place feature identity)

C.C		Lexical	Surface	gloss
OO	a.	/koptob/	[kóβ ir ómp]	'sphagnum moss'
	b.	/kajben/	[kántj im bén]	'sugar glider'
	c.	/akdoŋ/	[áγ in dóŋ]	'yonder across-river'
	d.	/kabkol/	[kámb i γól]	'house fly'
	e.	/askom/	[á si γóm]	'fine feathers on crown of ko birds'
	f.	/asday/	[á si ndáj]	'rays of light penetrating a barrier'
	g.	/loksam/	[lóγ is ám]	'caterpillars and ko pupae'
RR	h.	/koñmay/	[kó nj imáj]	'ko herb'
	i.	/amlan/	[á m ilán]	'ko taro'
	j.	/anwak/	[á nu wák]	'co-wives'
	k.	/alnay/	[á l ináj]	'uncultivated forest pandanus'
	l.	/alwag/	[á lu wáŋk]	'ko taro'
OR	m.	/aknaŋ/	[áγ in áj]	'ko large eel'
	n.	/aklaŋ/	[áγ il áj]	'ko cuscus'
	o.	/akyaŋ/	[áγ ij áj]	'down there'
	p.	/agnon/	[áŋ g inóŋ]	'ko tree'
	q.	/aglak/	[áŋ g ilák]	'wife's sister's husband'
	r.	/kabyam/	[ká mb ijám]	'tobacco'
RO	s.	/amkab/	[á m iγám]	'ko cane wicker frame'
	t.	/mañtopy/	[má nj iróβí]	'name of a string figure'
	u.	/amgaj/	[á m ingántj]	'ko large flying, stinging insect'
	v.	/alsas/	[á l isás]	'ko yam'
N _i C _i ²⁴	w.	/-mb/	[- m 'imp]	'2nd person plural subject'
	x.	/ntp/	[n ir'ip]	'2nd person dual object'
	y.	/ntk/	[n ir'ik]	'2nd person dual subject'
C _i C _i ²⁵	aa.	/abben/	[á mb imbén]	'ko giant tree-rat'
	bb.	/aññak/	[á nj inják]	'lightning'
	cc.	/ppal/	[φ íφál]	'shaking, jerking'
	dd.	/llmag/	[l'í l imáŋk]	'feel signs that one is going to get sick'
	ee.	/mmaly/	[m 'imálí]	'ko vine'

Recall, however, that there are two systematic exceptions to this statement: glides /w/ and /y/, and the fricative /s/ need not be followed by predictable vowels within the word when preceding another consonant, as illustrated in (9k-r), with further examples in (21). After glides, the predictable vowel is more often absent (21a-d). After /s/, it is usually variable (21e-f). These exceptions make it difficult to state a general *C₁C₂ constraint, since, for C₁, /w/, /y/, and /s/ must be excluded, but for C₂, the same consonants must be included (21g-k).²⁶

(21) Optional predictable vowel after /y/, /w/, and /s/

a. /kaynam/ [ká.jná.m] 'ko grass'

b.	/ay-may/	[á·jmá·j]	'two sisters, pair of sisters', cf. /ay/ 'sister'
c.	/awleg/	[á·wlé·ŋk]	'tadpole'
d.	/kaw-bap/	[ká·wmbá·p]	'several, a few', cf. /kaw/ 'several'
e.	/kaskam/	[ká·ská·m],[ká·sɿyá·m]	'ko tree, <i>Melicope</i> sp.'
f.	/as-ket/	[á·ské·r],[á·sɿyé·r]	'ko leech', cf. /as/ 'frog', /ket/ 'possessive clitic'
	<i>but</i>		
g.	/mokyɑŋ/	[mó·yijá·ŋ]	'decorations, adornments'
h.	/ak-yaŋ/	[á·yijá·ŋ]	'down there', cf. /ak-/ 'locative prefix'
i.	/atwak/	[á·ruwá·k]	'ko mammal, silky cuscus'
j.	/bet-wad/	[mbé·riwá·nt]	'breast-plate of Superb Bird of Paradise; net bag carried in front (rather than back) ' cf. /bet/ 'platform, shelf', /wad/ 'bag, case'
k.	/loksam/	[ló·yisá·m]	'caterpillars and ko pupae'

We suggest that the generalization being missed by *CC, or any structural constraint is that /y/, /w/ and /s/ are the only consonants in Kalam which lack a phonetic release. Since the glides are vowel like, there is neither closure nor release. Similarly, the fricative /s/ involves a constriction, but no closure, and therefore, no release. All other consonants in Kalam are stops, or in the case of /l/, involve central closure and release (5). Once this generalization is taken into account, the distribution of predictable vowels in words like those in (20) can be related to conditions on consonant release, as stated in (22).²⁷

(22) Kalam conditions on consonant release

- i. Word-internally, a consonant must be released.
- ii. Word-finally, a consonant is typically unreleased.

The simple conditions in (22) are the kind associated with Hall's 'intrusive' vowel class. Vowels associated with consonant release do not seem to have the function of repairing illicit structures. Further, the consonant clusters in which the vowel occurs may be less marked (e.g. RO in VR.OV) than clusters which surface without vowel insertion in the same language (e.g. sR in Vs.RV).²⁸ In addition, the conditions in (22) are natural: there are many languages in which consonants are released word-internally (e.g. Moroccan Arabic), and many others where they are unreleased word-finally (e.g. Cantonese).²⁹ We suggest then, that the best analysis of Kalam predictable vowels is in terms of the conditions on consonant release, as stated in (22). Under this analysis, predictable vowels appearing in words like (20) are not epenthetic.

Before providing further arguments along these lines, we consider one other potential analysis where Kalam predictable vowels like those in (20) would serve to "repair marked structure."

Instead of a ban on CC clusters within the word, predictable vowels in forms like (20) could be attributed to a constraint demanding that "all syllables be open", where this constraint holds word-internally, but not word-finally. Within an optimality treatment this would involve a ranking of FINAL-C ("Prosodic words must end in consonants") over NOCODA ("Syllables should be open"), over DEP ("No epenthesis"). There is at least one technical problem with this account: monoconsonantal words like those in (15) surface with final predictable vowels, suggesting that for these derivations, it is NOCODA which dominates FINAL-C, not the reverse. Since technical problems within OT grammars can always be solved by invoking additional constraints, we turn to a more fundamental problem with the analysis. This, again, concerns the data like that in (21a-f), where glides and /s/ can close word-medial syllables. Even if a mechanical solution is proposed where predictable vowels are inserted everywhere, but deleted optionally after glides and /s/, or where glides and /s/ are preferred word-medial codas, there is no explanation for why glides and /s/ form a natural class for optional deletion or preferred coda status. In contrast, under the analysis proposed in (22), release or "open transition" between two segments will, in part, depend on the phonetic nature of the segment involved: if it does not involve closure, then there will be no release, or no significant or audible open transition. In sum, though it is possible to view *some* predictable vowels in Kalam as epenthetic in the sense of Hall (2006), not all submit to analysis in these terms. In particular,

seeming transition vowels in word-internal VC.CV sequences, like those in (20), seem best analyzed as non-overlapping consonant gestures, where word-medial consonants are released (22).

A further property which sets Kalam predictable vowels apart from both canonical epenthetic and intrusive vowels is consonant cluster splittability. Hall's intrusive vowels generally appear in heterorganic clusters (3c), and regular rules of vowel epenthesis have been claimed to respect geminate integrity, failing to split morpheme-internal geminate clusters (Kenstowicz and Pyle 1973; Guerssel 1977).³⁰ Examples in (20) show, however, that Kalam predictable vowels are found between any two word-internal consonants, including sequences of: obstruent-obstruent (21a-g); sonorant-sonorant (21h-l); obstruent-sonorant (21m-r); sonorant-obstruent (21s-v); homorganic nasal-obstruent (21w-y); and identical (geminate) morpheme-internal consonant sequences (21aa-ee).

Finally, as noted in the preceding subsection, the quality of Kalam predictable vowels results in their classification as intrusive, not epenthetic vowels. As illustrated above in (18), Kalam predictable vowels can be central, a copy of a nearby vowel, or influenced by the place of surrounding consonants, exactly as Hall describes for intrusive vowels (3a). The quality of predictable vowels is not fixed, but highly variable and sensitive to phonetic context (13b).

The fact that Kalam predictable vowels do not always serve to repair illicit structures seems to rule them out as classical epenthetic vowels. In particular, their word-internal function between consonants in VCCV contexts appears to be primarily one of release or open transition, regardless of cluster composition (22). Kalam predictable vowels have variable quality, determined by the phonetics of surrounding vowels and consonants, another characteristic atypical of epenthetic vowels. We conclude that, although Kalam predictable vowels are phonologically visible for stress, they cannot all be fruitfully analyzed as instances of epenthetic vowels in the sense of Hall (2006). In sum, Kalam predictable vowels appear to have mixed properties. In terms of quality, and certain aspects of their distribution, they mimic intrusive vowels. In terms of stressability, and a subset of their functions, they are more like epenthetic vowels. In the following section, we suggest that the mix of properties exhibited by Kalam predictable vowels is partly explained by their historical origins.

3.3 Kalam predictable vowels as 'remnant' vowels

Recall from section 2 that Hall's account of intrusive vowels is based on their source in articulatory retiming of consonant gestures. If clusters of properties exhibited by predictable vowels are, in part, attributable to their source, and if articulatory retiming is not the sole source of predictable vowels, then we should not be surprised if Hall's synchronic typology appears inadequate or incomplete. Given other pathways of synchronic vowel-zero alternations, we might expect other predictable vowel types, with a mix of the properties in (2) and (3), or additional properties of their own. We have demonstrated above that Kalam predictable vowels have a mix of properties in (2) and (3), as listed in (4a-f). In addition, they appear, in some cases, to be related to consonant release features (4g). A final property of Kalam predictable vowels is that their absence in lexical representations results in long strings of consonants, and words that may lack vowels altogether: /kslm/ 'night, darkness', /lknm/ 'ko small frog', /plkd/ 'wing', /sblŋ/ 'umbilical cord', /ssnm/ 'wild millet', /pkcg/ 'fasten', /kŋld/ 'ko vine', /pktbk/ 'attach' (4h), /pkpnp/ 'I could have hit', /mdnknŋ/ 'while I was staying', /pbtknknŋ/ 'while I was fastening'. Though this property might seem unique to Kalam, we show in section 4 that it is typical of languages with the same mixed type of predictable vowels, and therefore worthy of explanation.

In the remainder of this section, we explore the historical origins of Kalam predictable vowels, and suggest ways in which their mixed properties follow from these origins. We will refer to predictable vowels with Kalam-like properties as 'remnant' vowels. Remnant vowels are historical traces of vowel reduction and loss, found sometimes in their historical positions, and sometimes elsewhere. Though synchronically, their distribution can be predicted by insertion algorithms, diachronically they reflect inversion of unstressed reduced vowel loss. Since remnant vowels evolve from reduced vowels, they share many of the properties of reduced vowels: they are typically unstressed, very short, and greatly influenced by coarticulatory effects.³¹ Unlike Hall's 'intrusive' vowel category, remnant vowels are not a rephrasing of existing gestures which result in vowel-like percepts. For this reason, they have none of the articulatory hallmarks of intrusive vowels: they are not generally limited to heterorganic clusters, and they do not have a highly variable duration. Like epenthetic vowels, remnant vowels do involve synchronic 'insertion' in the generative sense, leading to true vowel-zero alternations, as in data like (15) above. Unlike epenthetic

vowels, remnant vowels may not serve any obvious function: as in Kalam, they may simply reflect former positions of unstressed reduced vowels, and nothing more.³²

As noted earlier, Kalam is one of two members of the Kalamic group, the other being Kobon. Historical work on Kalamic is not extensive, but includes Pawley and Osmond (1998), Pawley (2000, 2001), Coberly (2002) and Pawley (2008). Of these works, only Coberly (2002) and Pawley (2001) deal with the phonological history of Kalamic as such. Of the two dialects of Kalam, Ti Mnm and Etp Mnm, Ti Mnm is more conservative phonologically, with more full (vs. predictable) vowels, and syllable final /l/, which has often vocalized in Etp Mnm.

Our working hypothesis is that historical vowel reduction/deletion led to a restructuring of parts of the Kalam phonological system, with its many predictable vowels. Some predictable vowels in Kalam are true remnants of once-present reduced vowels, while others are non-etymological consequences of reanalysis. Vowel reduction should occur where vowels are unstressed. Although we described Kalam word-stress above as falling on final vowels as well as on all full vowels, we did not mention an important fact about Kalam stress: in phrasal contexts, all but the last stress tends to be subordinated, weakened or lost. Since many morphemes and words in the language will often be in non-phrase-final position, they may be unstressed, and therefore targets of vowel reduction. It is this stress subordination at the phrasal level that seems to have given rise to significant vowel reduction in the Kalamic group.

As preliminary evidence for this hypothesis, we note that vowel reduction is an on-going process in Etp Mnm, and, to a lesser extent in Ti Mnm, as evidenced by full and reduced variants of many words. Consider the word /jun/ 'head' (23b). While this noun can occur alone in a noun phrase, it is often a modifier, as in: /jun-bad/ 'head-like appendage', /jun kas/ 'head hair', /jun mok/ 'brain', etc. In fast speech, when /jun/ is not in phrase-final position, it is often reduced to /jn/ [dyin]. The situation is similar for /sud/ 'sword grass, *Miscanthus floridulus* sp.'. Though this word can occur alone, referring to the taxon, it is very common as a modifier, as in: /sud aydk/ 'common sword-grass', /sud yŋleb/ '*Thysanolenia maxima*', /sud magi/ 'seedheads of swordgrass', etc. In these contexts, it is often reduced to /sd/. In (23) we list all words noted with fast speech reduced forms from Pawley and Bulmer (2003). In most cases, it is the surface vowel [u] (from vocalized /w/) that is reduced (23a-p). In two examples, (23o-p), a vowel is reduced between identical consonants, suggesting a historical source for the many words like (20cc-ee) with initial identical consonants. Though this might seem a minor point, a cross-linguistic generalization holding of epenthesis into seeming geminate clusters is that, in all known cases, the historical sound change in question giving rise to this sound pattern was unstressed vowel loss between identical consonants (Blevins 2004:184-888; Blust 2007). In (23q-s), the first /a/ in CaCaC is reduced. Though we refer to this process as vowel reduction based on the difference between surface forms in (23), following our account of predictable vowels above, it appears to involve vowel deletion at the lexical level.

(23) Synchronic vowel reduction/loss in Etp and Ti Mnm

	<u>Slow speech</u>		<u>Fast Speech</u>		<u>gloss</u>
a.	/alwk-/	[á·lúγ-]	/alk-/	[á·l̥γ-]	prefix to locatives (T)
b.	/jwj/	[ndyú·nty]	/jj/	[ndyínty]	'base, trunk'
c.	/jwn/	[ndyú·n]	/jn/	[dyín]	'head'
d.	/jnwp/	[ndyinúp]	/jnp/	[ndyiníp]	'squeak'
e.	/kwd/	[kú·nt]	/kd/	[kínt]	'back, rear; far'
f.	/kwn/	[kú·n]	/kn/	[kín]	'like this'
g.	/kwnɛŋ/	[kú·né·ŋ]	/kneŋ/	[kiné·ŋ]	'in an upriver direction'
h.	/lwɣ/	[lúŋk]	/lg/	[líŋk]	'move smoothly over a surface'
i.	/pwb/	[p̥ú·mp]	/pb/	[p̥ímp]	'sun'
j.	/swd/	[sú·nt]	/sd/	[sínt]	'sword grass'
k.	/swgwn/	[sú·ŋgú·n]	/sgun/	[sínŋú·n]	'ko tree'
l.	/swjɣ-/	[sú·ndyɣŋ-]	/sjɣ-/	[sindyínŋk]	'extract object by sucking'

m.	/swŋ/	[sú·ŋ]	/sŋ/	[sŋ]	'in good health, well'
n.	/gwgolŋ/	[ŋgú·ŋgól·lŋ]	/ggolŋ/	[ŋgŋgól·lŋ]	'ko herb'
o.	/mwmlak/	[mú·milá·k]	/mmlak/	[mímilá·k]	'mold, mildew'
p.	/palaj/	[φá·lá·nty]	/plaj/	[φilá·nty]	'sliver of goldlip pearl shell'
q.	/pataj/	[φá·rá·nty]	/ptaj/	[φirá·nty]	'unmarried, single'
r.	/yakam/	[yá·yá·m]	/ykam/	[yí·yá·m]	'group of people'

Stronger evidence for the hypothesis that predictable vowels have sources in historical vowel reduction/deletion is found in comparative data from the two major Kalam dialects and Kalam's closest relative, Kobon. Data in (24) shows that where Ti Mnm has an underlying full vowel /a/, /e/ or /o/ (in bold), the Etp Mnm cognate has no lexical vowel, but, as detailed above, shows a predictable vowel in the same position, bolded in the broad phonetic transcription.

(24) Recent vowel reduction/loss in Etp Mnm

	<u>Ti Mnm</u>	<u>Etp Mnm</u>	<u>gloss</u>
a.	/pak/ [pá·k]	/pk/ [p'ik]	'hit, strike'
b.	/pok/ [pó·k]	/pk/ [p'ik]	'reddish brown, ripe (of fruit)'
c.	/ped/ [pé·nt]	/pd/ [p'int]	'yam (generic)'
d.	/tep/ [té·p]	/tp/ [t'ip]	'place (generic)'
e.	/ctek/ [tjiré·k]	/ctk/ [tjir'ik]	'1p dual subject, topic, possessor'
f.	/mlep/ [milé·p]	/mlp/ [mil'ip]	'dry'
g.	/ydek/ [jí·ndé·k]	/ydk/ [jí·nd'ik]	'tasty, sweet'
h.	/pabtk-/ [φá·mbir'ik]	/pbtk-/ [φ'imbir'ik]	'secure, fasten'
j.	/kapok/ [ká·βó·k]	/kapk/ [ká·β'ik]	'pit for an earth oven'
k.	/na-sed/ [ná·sé·nt]	/na-sd/ [ná·s'int]	'your grandfather' (/na-/ 2 nd person possessive', /asd/ 'grandfather'
i.	/joŋbaŋ/ [ndjó·ŋimbá·ŋ]	/jŋbaŋ/ [ndjŋimbá·ŋ]	'good quality stone axe blade'

Since one can only predict the reduced vowel from the full vowel, and since minimal pairs like Ti Mnm (24a) and (24b) are associated with homophones in Etp Mnm, the data supports the hypothesis that predictable vowels are remnants of vowel reduction at the phonetic level, and vowel loss at the lexical level. For four of these forms, (24a,b,c,f), Kobon cognates exist (namely, Kobon *pak-*, *pa-* 'hit, strike', *po* 'ripe (of fruit)', *pöd* 'yam (generic)', *milep* 'dry') and in each case support the hypothesis that Ti Mnm is conservative in retaining a full vowel.

Some of the cognate sets in (24) also lend support to the association between vowel reduction and phrasal stress subordination mentioned above. Recall our observation above that non-final words within the phrase may be produced without lexical stress. This means that words which are first elements of compounds or set phrases will occur unstressed at higher frequencies than other words. A class of words of this kind in Kalam are generics, like (24c) /ped/, /pd/ 'yam'. In Kalam, this lexeme is found as first member of a number of longer phrases referring to kinds of yams, yam parts, tools relating to yam cultivation, and so on. Examples include Etp Mnm: *pd kolem aydk* 'ko wild yam', *pd sgoy* 'ko wild yam with massive hairy yellow fleshed root', *pd magi* 'aerial tuber of wild yam'; *pd kot* 'yam pole (for staking vines)'; *pd sbel* 'narrow base of yam tuber'; and *pd yŋ* 'section cut from yam tuber for use as seed'. If this was an isolated example, it would not lend much support to the stress subordination hypothesis, but many lexically vowelless words like (24c,d) appear to be more common in phrase-initial or medial position than in phrase-final position.

A further interesting fact which may lend support to the stress subordination hypothesis is the existence of homophones in Ti Mnm, where one form is reduced in Etp Mnm and the other is not. Three

quite common homophones in Ti Mnm are shown in (25) with their Etp cognates, as well as examples indicating common usage. The lexeme /tep/ 'good', which has not undergone reduction in Etp Mnm, is common in phrase-final position (25a-c). Two homophones in Ti Mnm, /tep/ 'place (generic)' (25ii), and the adverb /tep/ 'again, once more' (25iii) have different syntactic distributions. As discussed above for /ped/ 'yam', generics are common in initial position of phrases which refer to specific attributes of the generic. Examples (25d-f) illustrate the same principle for this lexeme. Finally, examples in (25e-g) show the positioning of the adverb /tp/ before the verb, making it quite typically in non-phrase-final position.

We highlight these facts because they may support an association between vowel reduction as a function of phrasal stress subordination. However we should stress that the subordination hypothesis will remain speculative until a fuller study of Kalam phrasal prosody is carried out. If vowels which are more often subject to reduction are those which are lost first, then a more general aspect of sound change is supported: where sound change is due to variation along the hyper-to-hypoarticulation continuum, frequency of reduced tokens can play an important role in the reanalysis of lexical forms (Bybee 2001; Blevins 2004:36-37).

(25) The role of phrasal stress subordination in historical vowel reduction

- i. Ti Mnm /tep/; Etp Mnm /tep/ 'good, nice; enough'
 - a. *Mey tep.* 'That's enough'
 - b. *Nad b tep.* 'You are a good man'
 - c. *kayg-tep* 'any valuable goods' (PL)

- ii. Ti Mnm /tep/; Etp Mnm /tp/ 'place (generic)'
 - d. *tp mdep* 'place for staying'
 - e. *tp kneb* 'sleeping place'
 - f. *tp bsgep* 'place for sitting'

- iii. Ti Mnm /tep/; Etp Mnm /tp/ 'again, once more'
 - e. ***Tp** agan!* 'Say it again'
 - f. ***Tp** adkd owak.* 'He's back home again'
 - g. *tp am-* 'return, go back, go again'

Comparison of Kalam and its sister language, Kobon, also support the view that Kalam predictable vowels were historically full vowels that have undergone reduction and (in some cases) loss. Cognate sets are presented in (26), with Kobon data from Davies (1980, 1981, 1985). Where more than one form is cited for Kobon, these reflect dialectal variants. A slash separates strings being compared. Kobon full vowels which are absent in Kalam are printed in bold. In reconstructions, 'V' marks a vowel of, at present, indeterminable quality.

Sets in (26i) show reduction/loss of vowels which are not in absolute initial or final position, and which, following Davies (1980), are not predictable in Kobon. In (26a-e) we see evidence of regular Proto-Kalamic *s > h in Kobon; while (26f-h) show Kobon regular lenition of Proto-Kalamic coda *k > zero. In (26ii) Kobon forms with final vowels are cognate with C-final forms in Kalam. Kobon stress is synchronically penultimate (Davies 1980:58-59), and it is clear, even in Kobon, that word-final vowels are being reduced and lost (26n), though again, these vowels are not predictable in Kobon.³³ This sound change has come to completion in Kalam, where, as noted earlier, there is evidence that at the phonological level, all words are C-final. Vowel loss in Kalam has occurred initially as well, as exemplified in (26s).

There is also external evidence for some of the Proto-Kalamic reconstructions in (26). For example, Proto-Kalamic *kabV 'stone' (26j) is part of a widespread cognate set for which Pawley (2008) reconstructs Proto-Trans New Guinea *ka(mb,m)u[CV]. Another reconstruction with external support is Proto-Kalamic *sib 'intestines, faeces' (26c), a reflex of Proto-Trans New Guinea *simb(i,u). Additional Proto-Trans New Guinea (PTNG) reconstructions with zero vowel reflexes in Kalam include: PTNG *nVng- 'know, see, hear' > Kalam *ng-*; *ng-* (T); PTNG *imbi 'name' > Kalam *yɔ*; PTNG *ambi 'man' > Kalam *b*; PTNG *pana(a,e) 'woman, girl' > Kalam *pañ*; PTNG *takVn(V) 'moon' > Kalam *takn*; PTNG *mundun-mangV 'heart' > Kalam *md-magi*.

(26) Some Kalam-Kobon comparisons

	<u>Kobon</u>	<u>Kalam</u>	<u>Proto-Kalamic</u>	<u>gloss</u>
i. medial vowel loss (is it loss or reduction? Phonetic reduction, phonological loss?)				
a.	habl'iŋ, habil'iŋ	sblŋ	*sabVliŋ	'umbilical cord'
b.	habö	sbek	*sab(o,e)k	'pimple'
c.	wihak-	wsk-	*wVsak-	'to loosen, untie'
d.	hib	sb, cb	*sib	'intestines; faeces'
e.	halañ	slañ	*salañ	'scab'
f.	hagal ⁱ	sgal/b	*sVgal	'discharge from eyes'
g.	kuñu, kiñu	kuñk, kñk	*kVñuk	'saliva, spit'
h.	lisön gp	lsen gp	*lisVn	'have a cold'
i.	mulu	mluk	*muluk	'nose'
j.	ado /g-	adk-	*adok	'to turn around'
ii. final vowel loss				
k	bi	b	*bi	'man'
l.	kabö	kab	*kabV	'stone'
m.	maybö	mayb	*maybV	'shoulder, shoulderblade'
n.	ramö	tam	*tamV	'fork, intersection'
o.	habaynö	sabayn	*sabaynV	'gall bladder'
p.	gap ⁱ	gap	*gapV	'star'
p.	haji, haji, haj	haj	*sajV	'compensation (for injury)'
r.	rune	tun	*rune	'ashes'
s.	nime	-nm	*nVme	'mother' (term of ref.)
t.	gawbu, gabu	gawb	*gawbu	'jew's harp'
iii. initial vowel loss				
u.	ud-	d-	*ud-	'to hold'

In sum, there is ample evidence that some Kalam predictable vowels are the remnants of once full vowels. When these vowels are in phrasal positions in which lexical stress is subordinated to phrasal stress they are reduced. If such reduced forms become frequent enough, they replace former lexemes with full vowels. At the stage where every (or near-every) consonant-to-consonant transition within the word has a reduced transition vowel, the language learner may reverse the historical process of vowel loss/reduction, and assume that these transition vowels are inserted.³⁴ We summarize the historical developments in (27), with representative forms.

(27) Vowel reduction reanalyzed as vowel insertion, leading to predictable vowels (v = reduced vowel)

Stage I Full vowels only	*sabVliŋ 'umbilical cord'	*sib-gac 'large intestine'	*jubul 'ko tree'	*bi 'man'
Stage II Reduction of unstressed (non-phrase-final) vowels	svbvlvŋ	svbgac	jvbul	bv
Stage III Reduced vowels reanalyzed as consonant release	svbvlvŋ < /sblŋ/	svbgac < /sbgac/	jvbl < /jbl/ (Etp)	bv < /b/
Stage IV Reduced vowels inserted where consonant has release	n.a.	svbvgac < /sbgac/	n.a.	n.a.

The phrasal nature of reduction, combined with the fact that words can be pronounced as independent phrases, means that even without a shift in the stress system, vowels reduced in (27) are still potentially stress bearing in some contexts. This allows us to explain why the seemingly predictable reduced vowel in Kalam can be stressed in word-final syllables.³⁵ Stage III, where reduced vowels are analyzed as consonant release allows us to explain the seemingly odd distribution on non-historical vowels in VC.CV contexts: as in the reflex of *sablŋ 'umbilical cord', Kalam /sblŋ/ [sɨmbilɨŋ], vowels appear in the

context of consonants which have a closure release. Finally, the analysis sketched above makes it clear why long strings of consonants may arise in languages which have undergone this pathway of predictable vowel evolution: if all but phrase final vowels are potentially unstressed and reduced, and if all reduced vowels are ultimately reinterpreted as lexically absent, strings of consonants, and vowelless words are expected.

4. Remnant vowels in a broader perspective.

Both Levin (1987) and Hall (2006) propose typologies of predictable vowels with two types: phonologically present (lexical) vowels; and phonologically absent (non-lexical) vowels. Within Hall's typology, a further proposal is made that phonologically absent vowels are 'intrusive' vowels. In addition to offering new diagnostics for intrusive vowels, Hall (2006) claims that her gestural analysis is able to account for three general properties of intrusive vowels: their quality (copy vowels or schwa-like); their distribution (typically restricted to heterorganic clusters); and their variability (likely to be absent in fast speech). She also shows how intrusive vowels develop diachronically from retiming of consonant-to-consonant transitions.

However, retiming of consonant-to-consonant transitions is only one pathway by which predictable vowels can arise. The present study suggests that the typology of predictable vowels be expanded to include vowels from other historical sources. In Kalam, we have found predictable vowels which defy description within the previous typology. Kalam predictable vowels are similar to intrusive vowels in terms of their quality, and their distribution. But Kalam predictable vowels can be stressed, and so cannot be phonologically invisible. Furthermore, they are common between identical (homorganic) consonants. We have suggested that the seemingly mixed properties of Kalam predictable vowels follow from their history in vowel reduction and reanalysis. Unlike intrusive vowels, predictable vowels in Kalam do not have their origins in elongated consonant-to-consonant transitions. Rather, a clear historical process of vowel reduction has been documented, leading us to classify Kalam predictable vowels as remnant vowels with the properties described in (4), and repeated as (28) below (where again, 'E' indicates a property associated with Hall's epenthetic class, 'I' a characteristic of Hall's intrusive class, and 'N' a new property not clearly associated with either of these predictable vowel types.) Of particular interest are two new properties associated with predictable vowels: association with consonant release (28g); and long consonant strings in the lexicon (28h). Under earlier treatments (e.g. Levin 1987), association with consonant release was a typical property of non-lexical (excrement) vowels, but the same vowels were expected to be invisible to stress and other phonological patterns. Long strings of consonant in the lexicon have, as far as we know, not been generally associated with the existence of any predictable vowel type in the literature.

(28) Some properties of Kalam predictable vowels

- I a. The vowel's quality is either central, a copy of a nearby vowel or influenced by the quality of surrounding consonants.
- E b. If the vowel's quality is copied over an intervening consonant, that consonant need not be a sonorant or guttural.
- E c. The vowel's presence is not dependent on speech rate.
- E d. The vowel does not generally occur in heterorganic clusters; it often occurs between homorganic consonants, including identical consonants.
- I e. The vowel does not seem to have the general function of repairing illicit structures.
- E f. The vowel is phonologically visible, since it can carry word stress.
- N g. The vowel's presence may be associated with consonant release.
- N h. Lexical/underlying forms without predictable vowels may contain long strings of consonants, and may lack vowels altogether.

Is it possible that other cases of predictable vowels with sources in unstressed vowel reduction may have a similar profile? Languages classified by Hall (2006) as having intrusive vowels include: Imdlawn Tashlhiyt Berber, Tiberian Hebrew, Mokilese, Piro, and Upper Chehalis (Coast Salishan). Since all of these languages have known histories of vowel reduction, a careful review of predictable vowel phonology may

reveal that their properties are not entirely explained by the articulatory model. This appears to be the case for Tashlhiyt Berber, (Dell and Elmedlaoui 1995, 1996a, 1996b; Coleman 1999, 2001). In this Berber language, as in Kalam, words may consist entirely of consonants at the lexical level. And, as in Kalam, predictable vowels occur on the surface, and may be stressed. Though Hall classifies these as 'intrusive' vowels due to their quality, distribution, and variability, the fact that Berber intrusive vowels can be stressed seems to argue for their phonological visibility. It is not surprising then, that in the literature cited above, there is some debate as to the synchronic status of these vowels.

Other predictable vowels with profiles similar to those in Kalam are found in other Papuan languages of New Guinea. The analysis of short high to mid central surface vowels has been problematic in many languages of the Sepik area, including the Wosera dialect of Abelam (Ndu Family) as sketched by Laycock (1965), Alambak as analyzed by Bruce (1984:61-70), and Yimas (Lower Sepik Family) as treated by Foley (1991:44-50). In all of these languages, vowelless words and strings of consonants are found in the lexicon. In Wosera, the mixed status of the central vowel is analyzed by Laycock (1965:44) in terms of two kinds of schwa: a phonemic schwa and a "linking schwa". The linking schwa occurs word-internally between hetero-morphemic consonants in VC₁-C₂V, similar to the Kalam patterns in (20), except where C₁ and C₂ are identical or homorganic. Here, as in Kalam, the presence of the linking vowel is tied to release, since it is stated explicitly that the first of a sequence of homorganic consonants is not released. In Bruce's (1984:62-63) analysis of Alambak, underlying central high/mid vowels are also distinguished from predictable vowels of the same quality, since there are a range of consonant clusters where the presence vs. absence of a transition vowel appears to be lexically determined. While predictable vowels are invisible for subparts of the stress-assignment algorithm and for a phonological process of low-vowel dissimilation, the same vowels can be stressed as a last resort, showing simultaneous phonological visibility and invisibility. Similar sound patterns are found in Yimas, where Foley (1991:46-49) also proposes underlying and epenthetic high central vowels. Epenthesis of Yimas [i] occurs between all underlying clusters which are impermissible surface clusters in the language. Unlike Wosera and Kalam, the predictable vowel is never inserted at a syllable boundary. While Yimas [i] comes closest to a canonical epenthetic vowel, it also shows mixed visibility with respect to stress. In the primary and secondary stress rules stated by Foley (1991:75), input includes only underlying vowels. However, as in Alambak, the same predictable vowels can be stressed in a pinch. In Yimas, a surface phonetic constraint requires that one of the first two vowels carry primary stress: if both are predictable [i], then primary stress falls on the first of these (Foley 1991:77).

The recognition of remnant vowels as a distinct predictable vowel type may also assist with analysis of newly discovered predictable vowels. A recent finding of this kind involves a non-Papuan language of Papua New Guinea. The Selau dialect of Halia, an Oceanic Austronesian language of the northern tip of Bougainville, is described by Blust (2003) as having predictable schwa in words which, lexically, have no vowels. Historically, most of these forms result from high vowel reduction and loss. Representative Selau forms are shown in (29), with Proto-Oceanic reconstructions.

(29) Selau predictable vowels (in bold)

lexical	phonetic	gloss	Proto-Oceanic
a. /ss/	[s:ə]	'breast'	*susu
b. /mr/	[mər]	'back, behind'	*muri
c. /nt/	[əntə]	'egg'	(cf. Halia <i>nata</i>)
d. /ptn/	[ptən]	'coconut husk'	*putun
e. /lmt/	[ləmtə]	'moss, algae'	*lumut

Notice that, as in Kalam, some predictable vowels appear to reflect historically reduced vowels, while others do not. Blust (2003:149) is explicit on this point: final high vowels were lost in Selau; where a word-final schwa is pronounced, as in (29a,c,e), it is "little more than a transitional vowel permitting speakers to pronounce what would otherwise be a disallowed final consonant cluster." Supporting the view that Selau schwas are intrusive vowels within Hall's (2006) typology, is the fact that such vowels are not fixed in position. Words like /lma/ 'hand/' (< Proto-Oceanic *lima) can be pronounced as [ləma] or [əlma]: "Such free variation suggests that the schwa in these forms is little more than an automatic facilitating vowel which enables speakers of the language to pronounce the underlying consonant clusters in *lma* and *lsa* respectively" (Blust 2003:148). If schwa is intrusive, then, under Hall's classification, it is expected to

be phonologically invisible. However, this is not the case. Although Blust's (2003) description, based on his own fieldnotes, does not show stress, Capell's (1963) 100 item Selau word-list does. Selau stress is usually on the penult, and in penultimate position, schwa is stressed: [as'ənən] 'her breast'; [nnta] 'egg' (where long 'n' is written instead of schwa); [laml'əmo^u] 'wind' (cf. Proto-Oceanic *timu(R) 'wind bringing light rain' (Ross, Pawley and Osmond 2003:131)). Again, we appear to have an instance of a predictable vowel which is neither 'intrusive' nor 'epenthetic'. Rather, due to regular vowel reduction and loss, and the inversion (and extension) of this historical process, predictable vowels in Selau are remnant vowels. Selau remnant vowels facilitate the pronunciation of consonant sequences at the phonetic level, but, at the same time, are phonologically stress-bearing.³⁶

Broader implications of this study relate to relationships between contemporary sound patterns and sound change. Blevins (2004, 2005, 2008) has argued that many aspects of sound patterns reflect recurrent patterns of sound change. In two specific areas, typologies have been expanded in significant ways. In the study of geminate inventories, Blevins (2004, 2005) shows a close match between pathways of geminate evolution, and geminate inventory composition: small geminate inventories are typically the result of local restricted consonant assimilations, while full inventories of geminates are common results of post-tonic lengthening and vowel loss between identical consonants. Where earlier studies of geminate inventories attempted to account for composition in terms of natural classes (sonorants, obstruents, etc.), the strongest predictor of geminate inventory composition appears to be pathway of geminate evolution.

Closer in content to the vowel/zero alternations examined here are typologies of consonant epenthesis. Blevins (2008) proposes a major three-way division: (i) consonant-epenthesis arising from phonetically transitional intervocalic glides, or glide-percepts; (ii) consonant-epenthesis from phonologization of laryngeal boundary marking; and (iii) consonant-epenthesis from inversion of historical coda-loss. In addition to these three major types, subsequent fortition of glides arising from (i) can result in synchronic obstruent epentheses. Each type has a set of expected segmental and distributional properties which distinguish it from the others. Consonant epentheses with sources in V-to-V transitions typically give rise to segments /j/, /w/, and are found intervocalically, but not word-initially or finally. Consonant epentheses based on phonologization of laryngeal boundary markers generally show themselves as /h/ and /ʔ/, and are most common word-initially and word-finally. Consonant-epentheses which have origins in weak-coda loss show alternations in derived environments, where consonants are those most subject to coda weakening.

In the body of this paper, we have focused on the description and analysis of predictable vowels in Kalam, and implications of this analysis for a typology of predictable vowels. We have shown that a simple two-way division between intrusive phonologically invisible vowels and epenthetic phonologically visible vowels is too restrictive, and that 'remnant' vowels of the Kalam type should also be included. Further, we have shown that the origins of Kalam predictable vowels in historical vowel reduction and loss account for the mixed properties they exhibit, and the long strings of consonants posited in lexical forms. Given other pathways of vowel evolution, other types of predictable vowels are expected. In (30) we list at least six distinct identifiable pathways by which predictable vowels can arise from natural phonetic processes and reanalysis (i.e. historical rule inversion), and suggest typological classifications based on their known properties.³⁷

(30) General pathways of predictable vowel evolution: an expanded typology

<u>General pathway</u>	<u>Predictable vowel type</u>
a. C-C with elongated transition	intrusive (Hall 2006)
b. C-C with perceived interconsonantal vowel	intrusive (Hall 2006)
c. C ^o with release phonologized as vowel	paragogic (Blevins and Garrett 1998:542-43)
d. Unstressed vowel reduction	remnant (Kobon, see above)
e. Unstressed vowel deletion + rule inversion	remnant (Kalam; see above)
f. Unstressed vowel syncope + rule inversion	epenthetic (Yawelmani; footnote 3)

A full treatment of these alternative pathways and the predictable vowels types associated with them begs for future study. For now, we offer brief comments based on available case studies. Pathways (30a) and (30b) are discussed at length in Hall (2006) and lead to the synchronic patterns she classifies as intrusive vowels. Pathway (30c) leads to the evolution of word-final paragogic vowels, whose quality and

distribution distinguish them from intrusive vowels. Unlike intrusive vowels which occur between consonants, paragogic vowels are common word-finally. Further, unlike intrusive vowels (3b), paragogic vowels are often copies of the preceding vowel, independent of the quality of the intervening consonant. Pathway (30d) would seem to classify the synchronic stage of Kobon as described above: reduced vowels are found only in their historical positions, while (30e) describes the extension of reduced vowels via reanalysis: a stage of reduced vowel/zero alternations gives rise to a synchronic rule of predictable vowel insertion which is extended to environments where no historical vowels were present. This is the pattern found for Kalam. Finally, (30f) shows the pathway of a more restrictive type of historical vowel loss: medial vowel syncope. When vowel/zero alternations arising from this historical process are interpreted as synchronic vowel insertions, vowels appear to function as syllable repairs.

A notable property of the typology in (30) is that it is agnostic with respect to whether vowels are 'phonological' or 'phonetic'. A phonetic process begins as a gradient variable aspect of the speech signal and evolves into a categorical invariant pattern. At the early stages, the process will have features we associated with phonetic effects, at some point, effects associated with phonologization, and later, the pattern may simply be left as a fossil record in the lexicon. Hall (2006:422) acknowledges that this is the case for vowel intrusion: "like other phonetic processes, it may become phonologized. A vowel sound that originated as intrusive may be reanalyzed over time as a segmental vowel...". We suggest that this is true for all of the phonetically based processes giving rise to predictable vowels. Since any predictable vowel arising from the pathways in (30) may be phonologized, phonological visibility is not a useful heuristic for establishing vowel type. Pathways (30a-c) will typically give rise to phonologically invisible vowels at their origins. However, since all predictable vowels can undergo phonologization, the visibility of vowels in the phonology may tell us little about other typological characteristics. Recall, from the discussion above, that in both Alamlak and Yimas, certain parts of the stress assignment algorithm must ignore predictable vowels, though, at what, under rule-ordering accounts would be "later" levels of the derivation, the same vowels can be stressed.

Under the reconceptualization of predictable vowel typology in (30), a wider range of predictable vowel types than the two proposed by Hall (2006) is expected, with a range of distributional properties, quality patterns, and visibility parameters. Articulatory and perceptual expansion of the speech stream are not the only sources of predictable vowels; reduction and loss play a role as well, along with systematic reanalysis of alternating segments. As the Kalam data shows, articulatory reduction, deletion and reanalysis can lead to a pattern of predictable vowel distribution with its own identifiable signature. As more languages with this signature are discovered, and other patterns of predictable vowels are carefully explored, the proposed typology in (30) can be more thoroughly evaluated.

References

- Archangeli, Diana (1991). Syllabification and prosodic templates in Yawelmani. *NLLT* 9. 231–283.
- Atoyebi, Joseph. In progress. A reference grammar of Oko. PhD dissertation. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology.
- Barnes, Jonathan (2006). *Strength and weakness at the interface: positional neutralization in phonetics and phonology*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Biggs, Bruce (1963). A non-phonemic central vowel type in Karam, a 'Pygmy' language of the Schrader Mountains, Central New Guinea. *Anthropological Linguistics* 5. 13–17.
- Blevins, Juliette (1995). The syllable in phonological theory. In John Goldsmith (ed.) *Handbook of phonological theory*. London: Basil Blackwell. 206–244.
- Blevins, Juliette (2004). *Evolutionary phonology: the emergence of sound patterns*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Blevins, Juliette (2005). The typology of geminate inventories: Historical explanations for recurrent sound patterns. *Seoul Linguistics Forum 2005*. Seoul: Language Education Institute, Seoul National University. 121–137.
- Blevins, Juliette (2006). A theoretical synopsis of Evolutionary Phonology. *Theoretical Linguistics* 32. 117–165.
- Blevins, Juliette (2008). Consonant epenthesis: natural and unnatural histories. In Jeff Good (ed.) *Language universals and language change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 79–107.
- Blevins, Juliette and Andrew Garrett 1998. The origins of consonant-vowel metathesis. *Lg* 74: 508–556.

- Blust, Robert (2003). Vowelless words in Selau. In John Lynch (ed.) *Issues in Austronesian historical phonology*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics. 143–152.
- Blust, Robert (2007). Disyllabic attractors and anti-antigemination in Austronesian sound change. *Phonology* 24:1–36.
- Broselow, Ellen (1992). Parametric variation in Arabic dialect phonology. In Mushira Eid and John J. McCarthy (eds.), *Perspectives on Arabic Linguistics IV: Papers from the Fourth Annual Symposium on Arabic Linguistics*, Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 1–42.
- Browman, Catherine P. and Louis Goldstein (1986). Towards an articulatory phonology. *Phonology Yearbook* 3. 219–52.
- Browman, Catherine P. and Louis Goldstein (1992). 'Targetless' schwa: an articulatory analysis. In Gerard J. Docherty and D. Robert Ladd (eds.) *Papers in Laboratory Phonology II: gesture, segment, prosody*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 26–56.
- Bruce, Les (1984). *The Alamblak language of Papua New Guinea (East Sepik)*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Bybee, Joan (2001). *Phonology and language use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Capell, Arthur (1963). Survey word list (standard) Bougainville Survey Extra. Halia/Selau dialect (Austronesian), Tipot Bougainville I., North Solomons, Bougainville. Control: AC2-NSBHALSE101. Provenance CAPP001 - Capell, Arthur (1902-1986).
- Coberly, Mary (2002). Towards a proto-Kalamic phonology and lexicon. Ms., Plains Language Center, Centennial, Wyoming.
- Coleman, John S. (1999). The nature of vocoids associated with syllabic consonants in Tashlhiyt Berber. In J. J. Ohala, Y. Hasegawa, M. Ohala, D. Granville and A. C. Bailey (eds.) *Proceedings of The XIVth International Congress of Phonetic Sciences. Vol. 1*. 735–738.
- Coleman, John S. (2001). The phonetics and phonology of Tashlhiyt Berber syllabic consonants. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 99. 29–64.
- Comrie, Bernard (1991). On Haruai vowels. In Andrew Pawley (ed.), *Man and a half: Essays in Pacific anthropology and ethnobiology in honour of Ralph Bulmer*. Auckland: The Polynesian Society. 393–97.
- Crosswhite, Katherine (2004). Vowel reduction. In Bruce Hayes, Robert Kirchner and Donca Steriade (eds.) *Phonetically based phonology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 191–231.
- Crowley, Terry (1998). *An Erromangan (Sye) grammar*. Oceanic Linguistics Special Publication No. 27. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Davidson, Lisa and Maureen Stone (2003). Epenthesis versus gestural mistiming in consonant cluster production: An ultrasound study. In G. Garding and M. Tsujimura (eds.) *WCCFL 22 Proceedings*. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press. 165–178
- Davies, John (1980). *Kobon phonology*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Davies, John (1981). *Kobon*. Amsterdam: North Holland Publishing Company.
- Davies, John (1985). *Kobon dictionary*. Typescript. St. John's College, Cambridge.
- Dell, François and Mohamed Elmedlaoui. (1985). Syllabic consonants and syllabification in Imdlawn Tashlhiyt Berber. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 7. 105–130.
- Dell, François and Mohamed Elmedlaoui (1996a). Nonsyllabic transitional vocoids in Imdlawn Tashlhiyt Berber. In Jacques Durand and Bernard Laks (eds.) *Current trends in phonology: models and methods*. Manchester: University of Salford. 217–244.
- Dell, François and Mohamed Elmedlaoui (1996b). On consonant releases in Imdlawn Tashlhiyt Berber. *Linguistics* 34. 357–395.
- Dench, Alan (1991). Panyjima. In R. M. W. Dixon and Barry Blake (eds.) *The handbook of Australian languages*, volume 4. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 124–243.
- Dunn, Ernest F. (1968). *An introduction to Bini*. East Lansing: African Studies Center, Michigan State University.
- Elugbe, Ben Ohiomamhe (1989). *Comparative Edoid: phonology and lexicon*. (Delta Series No. 6.) Port Harcourt: University of Port Harcourt Press.
- Foley, William A. (1986). *The Papuan languages of New Guinea*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Foley, William A. (1991). *The Yimas language of New Guinea*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Gahl, Susanne and Alan Yu (2006). Special issue on exemplar-based models in linguistics. *The Linguistic Review* 23:3.

- Givón, T.E. 1990. Verb serialization in Tok Pisin and Kalam: a comparative study of temporal packaging. In J. Verhaar (ed.) *Melanesian Pidgin and Tok Pisin*. Studies in Language Companion Series 20. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 19–55.
- Givón, T.E. 1991. Serial verbs and event cognition in Kalam: an empirical study of cultural relativity. In Claire Lefebvre (ed.), *Serial verbs: grammatical, comparative and universal grammar*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 137–184.
- Goddard, Cliff (compiler) (1992). *Pitjantjatjara/Yankunytjatjara to English dictionary*. Alice Springs: Institute for Aboriginal Development.
- Guerssel, Mohamed (1977). Constraints on phonological rules. *Linguistic Analysis* 3. 267–305.
- Hall, Nancy (2006). Cross-linguistic patterns of vowel intrusion. *Phonology* 23. 387–429.
- Halle, Morris (1970). Is Kabardian a vowel-less language? *Foundations of Language* 6: 95–103.
- Harms, Robert T. (1976). The segmentalization of Finnish 'nonrules'. *Texas Linguistic Forum* 5. 73–88.
- Harris, John (2005). Vowel reduction as information loss. In P. Carr, J. Durand & C. J. Ewen (eds.) *Headhood, elements, specification and contrastivity*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 119–132.
- Hayes, Bruce (1995). *Metrical stress theory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Henderson, John and Veronica Dobson (1994). *Eastern and Central Arrernte to English Dictionary*. Alice Springs: IAD Press.
- Hewitt, B. G. 1981. Caucasian Languages. In Bernard Comrie (ed.), *The languages of the Soviet Union*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 196–237.
- Hooley, Bruce A. (1970). Mapos Buang - Territory of New Guinea. PhD dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.
- Hooley, Bruce A. (2006). Mapos Buang dictionary. Summer Institute of Linguistics. <http://www.sil.org/pacific/png/abstract.asp?id=49641>
- Ito, Junko (1989). A prosodic theory of epenthesis. *NLLT* 7. 217–260.
- Kenstowicz, Michael and Charles Kisseberth (1979). *Generative phonology*. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Kenstowicz, Michael and Charles Pyle (1973). On the phonological integrity of geminate clusters. In Michael Kenstowicz and Charles Kisseberth (eds.) *Issues in phonological theory*. The Hague: Mouton. 27–43.
- Kias, John and Lyle Scholz (1991). We threw away our bows and axes. In A. Pawley (ed.), *Man and a half: Essays in Pacific anthropology and ethnobiology in honour of Ralph Bulmer*. Auckland: Polynesian Society. 412–420.
- Kiparsky, Paul (2003). Syllables and moras in Arabic. In Caroline Féry and Ruben van de Vijver (eds.) *The syllable in Optimality Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 147–182.
- Lane, Jonathan (2007). *Kalam serial verb constructions*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Langdon, Margaret (1970). *A grammar of Diegueño: the Mesa Grande dialect*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Laycock, D. C. (1965). *The Ndu language family (Sepik District, New Guinea)*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Levin, Juliette (1987). Between epenthesis and excrescence: what happens after redundancy rules. In Megan Crowhurst (ed.) *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics, Volume 6*. Stanford: The Stanford Linguistic Association. 187–201.
- Lichtenberk, Frantisek (1983). *A grammar of Manam*. Oceanic Linguistics Special Publication No. 18. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Majnep, Ian Saem, n.d. Kalam plant lore. MS and computer files. Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Majnep, Ian Saem and Ralph Bulmer (1977). *Birds of my Kalam country*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Majnep, Ian Saem and Ralph Bulmer (1983). *Some food plants in our Kalam forests, Papua New Guinea*. Working Paper 63, Department of Anthropology, University of Auckland.
- Majnep, Ian Saem and Ralph Bulmer (1990). *Kalam hunting traditions*. vols 1-6. Department of Anthropology Working Papers, University of Auckland.
- Majnep, Ian Saem and Ralph Bulmer (2007). *Animals the ancestors hunted: an account of the wild mammals of the Kalam area, Papua New Guinea*. (edited by Robin Hide and Andrew Pawley.) Adelaide: Crawford House.
- Matteson, Esther (1965). *The Piro (Arawakan) language*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Newman, Stanley (1944). *Yokuts language of California*. Viking Fund Publications in Anthropology 2. New York: The Viking Fund.

- Operstein, Natalie (2007). *Prevocalization: Evidence for a new model of intrasegmental consonant structure*. PhD dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Pawley, Andre (1966). *The structure of Kalam. A grammar of a New Guinea highlands language*. PhD dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Auckland.
- Pawley, Andrew (1987). Encoding events in Kalam and English: different logics for reporting experience. In R. Tomlin (ed.) *Coherence and grounding in discourse*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. 329–360.
- Pawley, Andrew (1992). Kalam Pandanus language: an old New Guinea experiment in language engineering. In T.E. Dutton, M. Ross and D. Tryon (eds) *The Language game: papers in memory of Donald C. Laycock*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics. 313–334.
- Pawley, Andrew (1993). A language which defies description by ordinary means. In William Foley (ed.), *The role of theory in language description*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 87–129.
- Pawley, Andrew (1994). Kalam exponents of lexico-semantic primitives. In C. Goddard and A. Wierzbicka (eds), *Semantic and lexical universals*. Benjamins: Amsterdam. 387–421.
- Pawley, Andrew (2000). Historical notes on verb morphology in the Madang group and other Trans New Guinea Phylum languages. Printout and electronic file. Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Pawley, Andrew (2001). The Proto Trans New Guinea obstruents. In A. Pawley, M. Ross and D. Tryon (eds), *The Boy from Bundaberg: Essays in Melanesian linguistics in honour of Tom Dutton*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics, 261–300.
- Pawley, Andrew (2008a) “Compact vs narrative serial verb constructions in Kalam.” In Gunter Senft (ed.), *Serial verb constructions in Austronesian and Papuan Languages*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics. 171–202.
- Pawley, Andrew. (2008b). Some Trans New Guinea Phylum cognate sets. Computer file. Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Pawley, Andrew (in press a). On the argument structure of complex predicates in Kalam. Berkeley Linguistic Society, University of California at Berkeley. *BLS S32*
- Pawley, Andrew (in press b). Event representation in serial verb constructions. In Jürgen Bohnemeyer and Eric Pederson (eds), *Event representations in language and cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pawley, Andrew (in press c). Kalam serial verb constructions. In T.E. Givon and M. Shibatani (eds), *The origins of syntactic complexity*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Pawley, Andrew (in press d). *Helter skelter* and *ñugl ñagl*: Rhyming jingles in Kalam and English and the the psychic unity of Mankind. In Ken McElhanon and Ger Reesink (eds) *A mosaic of language and culture: celebrations of the academic career of Karl Franklin*. Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Pawley, Andrew and Ralph Bulmer (2003). A dictionary of Kalam with ethnographic notes. Printout and computer file. Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Pawley, Andrew, S.P. Gi, I. Majnep and J. Kias (2000). Hunger acts on me: the grammar and semantics of bodily and mental process expressions in Kalam. In Videa De Guzman and Byron Bender (eds.) *Grammatical analysis: morphology, syntax and semantics. Studies in honor of Stanley Starosta*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press. 153–185.
- Pawley, Andrew and Jonathan Lane (1998). From event to grammar: serial verb constructions in Kalam. In Anna Sierwierska and Jaejung Song (eds.) *Case, typology and grammar*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins. 201–227.
- Pawley, Andrew and Meredith Osmond (1998). The Madang group of Papuan languages: cognate sets and sound correspondences. Ms., Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.
- Pearce, Mary (2004). Kera foot structure. Ms., University College London.
- Rose, Sharon (2000). Epenthesis positioning and syllable contact in Chaha. *Phonology* 17. 397–425.
- Ross, Malcolm, Andrew Pawley and Meredith Osmond (eds.) (2003). *The lexicon of Proto Oceanic: The culture and environment of ancestral Oceanic society*. vol. 2 *The physical environment*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Scholz, Lyle (1976). Revised Kalam orthography. Manuscript, SIL Ukarumpa.
- Scholz, Lyle (1995). Organized Phonology Data. Kalam [Etip dialect] (Karam) Language. SIL, New Guinea.

- Selkirk, Elizabeth (1981). Epenthesis and degenerate syllables in Cairene Arabic. In Hagit Borer and Youssef Aoun (eds.), *Theoretical Issues in the Grammar of Semitic Languages, MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 3*, Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press. 111–140.
- Smeets, Ineke (2008). *A grammar of Mapuche*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Warner, Natasha, Allard Jongman, Anne Cutler, and Doris Mücke (2001). The phonological status of Dutch epenthetic schwa. *Phonology* 18. 387–420.
- Z'graggen, J. A. (1980). *A comparative word list of the Southern Adelbert Range languages, Madang Province, Papua New Guinea*. Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.

* We are grateful to Bernard Comrie, four anonymous referees, and audiences at the 2nd Sydney Papuanists' Workshop and the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology for comments on earlier versions of this paper. Pawley's fieldwork on Kalam was supported by grants from the Wenner-Gren Foundation, the University of Auckland, and the University of Papua New Guinea.

¹ Many languages have epenthetic vowels which can only be predicted on the basis of morphological or morpho-syntactic information. At the word level, Edo (Dunn 1968, Elugbe 1989) and Oko (Atoyebi in progress), two Benue-Congo languages, show a pattern where all nouns begin with vowels. This pattern is extended to derived verbs and to loan words via vowel epenthesis. In these languages, there will be an initial vowel inserted if the word is known to be a noun.

² Within models where underlying/lexical and surface forms are distinguished, predictable vowels are typically analyzed as absent underlyingly but present on the surface. Within exemplar models (see Gahl and Yu 2006) where underlying/lexical forms can be viewed as generalizations over phonetic surface forms, the mappings relating generalizations to surface forms will involve zero-to-vowel mappings. For the remainder of this paper, we frame the analysis in generative underlying/surface terms, though it is equally amenable to treatment within an exemplar model in which phonology consists of a speaker's generalizations from sound patterns within the exemplar space.

³ Nearly all Arrernte phonological words end in a central vowel, though this vowel need not be pronounced, and is often absent in sandhi when another vowel follows (Henderson and Dobson 1994:23). A small set of emphatics with distinctive intonation patterns seem to lack the final central vowel (Henderson and Dobson 1994:23). Since these exceptional forms have distinctive intonation patterns, the distribution of word-final central vowels can still be predicted on phonological grounds alone. Other Australian languages which require phonological words to end in vowels are Panyjima (Dench 1991:133) and dialects of Western Desert, like Pitjantjatjara (Goddard 1992:ix). In both of these languages, consonant-final native stems and loans are augmented by the word-final syllable /-pa/.

⁴ As with word-based epenthesis (see footnote 1), morphological information is sometimes necessary to predict locations of vowel insertion in syllable-based epenthesis. In fact, this is true of one of the best known cases in the literature. Yawelmani Yokuts /i/-epenthesis in pairs like *lɔgɪw-hin* '(he) pulverized (it)', *lɔgw-it* '(it) was pulverized' or *ʔilik-hin* '(he) sang', *ʔilk-en* '(he) will sing' (Newman 1944: 25, 27) is analyzed by many, including Kenstowicz and Kisseberth (1979:85-89) and Archangeli (1991), as a purely phonologically conditioned alternation. However, Newman (1944:25) describes the predictable (or "dulled") vowel as occurring only within stem-final consonant clusters in reduced stems. Further, he makes it clear that there are other strategies for eliminating unsyllabifiable consonants. These include consonant-deletion (Newman 1944:30) and insertion of a "protective" vowel in nouns. In nouns, the protective vowel can be other than /i/ (e.g. /pil-/ 'road' + /w/ 'oblique' realized as /pilaw/), and is determined, in part, by noun class (Newman 1944:172-73).

⁵ Mapuche allows triconsonantal clusters ending in /fw/ and /pw/ (Smeets 2008:45). /Cw/ may be treated as single complex consonants, or the /w/ as part of the following vowel.

⁶ Speakers of the Etp dialect occupy the Upper Simbai Valley, from the head eastwards as far as Sugup, and occupy some tributaries of the Middle Simbai as far east as Kaynej. They also occupy most of the Upper Kaironk Valley as far west as Matpay and the Kaiment Valley and the Upper Sal Valley (which they share with Kobon speakers), the Upper Aseb Valley and other small valleys between Mt Waim and Gigiji. West of Tsendiap, small populations of Kalam and Kobon speakers are said to extend across the Jimi River on the south side, where they meet speakers of Medlpa. The largest numbers of Ti Mnm speakers live in the Upper Asai Valley. Ti Mnm speakers also occupy parts of the Upper Kaironk Valley and several valleys to the west of the Asai on the Ramu fall of the Schradars, chiefly the Aunjang River and its tributaries. Some divergent dialects of Ti Mnm, collectively known as Tay Mnm, are spoken in several isolated settlements in the Upper Aunjang Valley, bordering on Kobon territory, in the Middle Asai, and in the Mjbl Valley down to Anmg village near Aiome. Although we refer here to Etp Mnm and Ti Mnm as “dialects” of Kalam, these dialects could be considered different languages, roughly as divergent as Standard Italian is from Spanish.

⁷ Pandanus Language is a variety of Kalam with an almost completely distinct lexicon, spoken in the high mountain forest when people are gathering or eating the fruit of the mountain pandanus, or when preparing cassowary flesh. Pandanus Language is used to avoid uttering ordinary language words whose use is thought to damage fruit in the vicinity. See Pawley (1992) for a description of Kalam Pandanus Language. There are no clear differences between the phonology of Pandanus Language and the ordinary language as far as the distribution of predictable vowels are concerned. Other differences can be noted. For example, /ŋ/ is not found word-initially in the ordinary language, but it is in Pandanus Language. Forms from Pandanus Language are marked 'PL' in glosses.

⁸ Throughout, we use the term 'consonant' to refer to true consonants and glides (semi-vowels). Where we wish to single out true consonants or glides respectively, this will be made explicit. Though the palatalized dental stops /c/ and /j/ have affricative release, this is treated as a redundant property, and not transcribed phonemically or phonetically.

⁹ Similar analyses of surface front and back high vowels as vocalized glides are suggested for other Papuan languages, including Iatmul and Yessan-Mayo (Foley 1986:49-52), and Haruai (Comrie 1991).

Note that in Kalam vocalized /w/ has a fronted allophone IPA [y] before the palatal consonants /y/ and /j/, as in /gal-wj/ [ŋgá-l w'y-ntj] 'spider taxon' or /kwy/ [k'y-j] 'smell, odor'.

¹⁰ However, as already noted, no aspect of the arguments regarding other predictable vowels would change significantly if one were to adopt an underlying /i u e o a/ vowel system. The central vowel would still be predictable and non-underlying.

¹¹ Predictable vowels in word-internal VG.CV sequences are rare, as noted above.

¹² Predictable vowels in word-internal VG.CV sequences are rare, as noted above.

¹³ Scholz (1995) transcribes all predictable central vowels as schwas. As discussed in 3.2, predictable vowels may be colored by adjacent consonants, and may also assimilate partially or fully to neighboring vowels.

¹⁴ Many Kalam speakers are bilingual in Tok Pisin, and there is a great range of variation in the production of Tok Pisin loans. Forms in (26) represent the first generation of Kalam speakers of Tok Pisin, who learnt Tok Pisin as teenagers or adults, preserving Kalam sound patterns.

¹⁵ Hall (2006:395) cites Pearce (2004:19) on potential psychological evidence for the nature of intrusive vowels in Kera, a Chadic language. Kera native speakers were asked to choose between CVCVCV and CVCCV for words with suspect medial intrusive vowels. Speakers chose CVCCV spellings, suggesting that the medial vowel was not part of their phonological lexical representation.

Closer to Kalam country, Comrie (1991) describes a very similar situation in Haruai, a Piawi language of the Mid-Ramu District of Madang Province, Papua New Guinea. In arguing that the central vowel [ɨ] is non-lexical, serving to break up word-internal consonant clusters, Comrie notes that "...where Haruai writers have had to write down Haruai words (e.g. names on labour contracts), following the basic spelling conventions of Tok Pisin, they have not provided any orthographic representation of the *ɨ*..." (1991:394). Comrie treats Haruai [ɨ] as part of the phonetic realization of syllabic allophones of the relevant consonants. See section 4 for further discussion of other New Guinea languages with predictable vowels.

¹⁶ Majnep's writings in Kalam include extensive Kalam texts in Majnep and Bulmer (1983, 1990) and Majnep n.d.

¹⁷ See Pawley (1966:37-43) for an early treatment of stress, and Pawley and Bulmer (2003) where stress is marked on all lexemes. Stress is most prominent on vowels, but there are no strong arguments for vowels vs. syllables as stress-bearing units, except, perhaps the minimal word constraint in (17).

One reader asks whether it would be possible to posit abstract syllabic consonants or degenerate syllables, and apply stress rules to these representations, with the predictable vowels themselves inserted *after* stress assignment. While this would be possible, it would greatly weaken the nature of Hall's typology since any predictable surface-stressed vowel could be scratched from the epenthetic category by a derivation involving degenerate syllabification, stress, and predictable vowel insertion, in that order.

¹⁸ One exception to these rules involves the verbal suffix (or enclitic) /-kɲ/ 'simultaneous action by different subject'. Despite its final position in the word, it is never stressed. Instead, 'final' word stress falls on the vowel immediately preceding this suffix: /aw-a-kɲ/ [á-wá-ɲɨɲ] 'while he was coming'. Though it is not stressed, /-kɲ/ is part of the preceding word for the purposes of predictable vowel insertion, as shown by examples like /g-n-kɲ/ [ŋgɨn'ɲɨɲ] 'while I was doing', not *[ŋgɨn kɨɲ].

¹⁹ Pawley (1966:23) stated that "Only nasals and prenasalized obstruents occur alone in minimal utterances... of the form #C#." This is the case in the Etp mnm dialect, but Ti mnm has the verb root /l/ 'stabilize, put, become', shown in (16f).

Another word-type with seemingly epenthetic predictable vowels is discussed in 3.2.2.

²⁰ The status of [ɨ] as the 'default' quality of predictable vowels is what lies behind the choice of 'ɨ' as the orthographic symbol for this vowel in Pawley and Bulmer's (2003) dictionary. In many contexts [ɨ] is the only form of the predictable vowel that occurs; in others, [ɨ] varies with a copy vowel. We assume that schwa, in examples like (16), is an allophone of the predictable vowel which occurs in stressed open monosyllabic words.

²² For more general arguments against universal phonological markedness constraints see Blevins (2004, 2006, 2008).

²³ This approach is similar to that proposed by Comrie (1991:394) for Haruai: "In general, Haruai avoids phonetic consonant clusters. Where two consonants would occur in sequence, or where a word would consist only of a consonant, the phonetic *ɨ* vowel is inserted after the first or (sole) consonant."

²⁴ Morpheme-internal homorganic NC sequences are rare, and none occur in the V_V context. When homorganic NC sequences occur word-internally across morpheme boundaries they tend to be eliminated by loss of the nasal component.

²⁵ Morpheme-internal identical CC sequences are rare in the V_V context.; for this reason, word-initial sequences of this kind are exemplified as well.

²⁶ Recall that we cannot revert to a syllable based constraint where /y/, /w/, and /s/ are possible codas, but not other consonants, since, as noted earlier, all consonants are possible codas in word-final position. Haruai (see footnote 22) also has exceptions to predictable vowel insertion, and these also appear to defy a syllable-based analysis.

²⁷ There are other ways of stating the general constraint. For example, one could demand that word-internal syllable contact be 'open' (with consonant release), while contact across word-boundaries be 'closed' (without consonant release).

²⁸ The markedness principle in question is the syllable contact law which ranks sonorant-obstruent sequences above obstruent-sonorant sequences at syllable boundaries (Vennemann 1988). However, numerous other 'preferred' cluster types, like homorganic NC sequences, could also be shown to be split by Kalam predictable vowels.

²⁹ See Davidson and Stone (2003) for experimental ultrasound evidence of transition vowels which result not from "epenthesis", but from non-overlapping consonantal gestures of the kind suggested here for Kalam.

³⁰ But see Blevins (2004:184-88) where exceptions to geminate integrity are noted. These exceptions are argued to follow from the historical origins of the epenthetic vowel. We return to the significance of geminate integrity violations of this kind in the following discussion of comparative data, where there is evidence for loss of vowels between identical consonants.

³¹ Recent work on vowel reduction includes Crosswhite (2004), Harris (2005), and Barnes (2006). In systems where vowel reduction results in contrast maintenance (e.g. reduction of /i u e o a/ to [i], [u], [a]), 'remnant' vowels will not evolve, since vowel quality remains unpredictable.

³² Remnant vowels resemble other sound patterns which arise from rule inversion in their resistance to explanations rooted solely in markedness constraints. See, for example, Blevins (2008) on patterns of consonant epenthesis arising from historical inversion of weak coda loss.

³³ There are other contexts where both Kobon and Kalam have predictable vowels. In Kobon, as in Kalam, syllables can end in single consonants, and CC clusters are common word-internally at syllable boundaries. In this context:

Where consonant clusters occur across syllable boundaries within the phonological word there is a tendency for a very short non-phonemic transitional schwa to occur between the two consonants. (Davies 1980:57).

Davies (1980) does not suggest that certain Kobon words are vowelless, but given that certain words contain only a short central vowel (e.g. /mi/ 'taro', /biŋ/ 'strongly', /rimin/ 'edible greens', /kidil/ 'root'), it is possible to analyse Kobon as having words whose lexical forms are C, CC, CCC, etc.

In some of the languages of the South Adelbert Range group, spoken on the north side of the Ramu River, there are also words composed of consonants with intervening short central vowels (Z'graggen 1980). These languages are probably the closest relatives of Kalam within the Madang group (Pawley 2008). Interestingly, at least a few cognate sets show correspondences between predictable vowels in Kalam, and short central vowels in South Adelbert Range languages. A cognate set of this type is Proto Trans New Guinea *kindil 'root'; Proto-Madang *kVndVI (Kalam: Kalam *kdl*; Kobon *kidil*. South Adelbert Range: Sileibi *kindi*; Katiati *kʷndʷ*; Emerum *gʷndrʷ*).

³⁴ In some languages with predictable vowels from historically reduced vowels, there is no evidence of historical rule inversion in the form of extension of the predictable vowel pattern. This seems to be the

case for Diegueño and other Yuman languages (Langdon 1970:37-41). For Diegueño, Langdon (1970:37) posits an underlying /ə/ phoneme which is "always unstressed, never long, and accounts for all cases of unstressed vowels whose quality is either [ə] or predictable from the environment." However, she goes on to note that in word-initial position one need not assume an underlying vowel since "there are no initial clusters and the presence of the vowel is completely predictable in that position." Interestingly, stress is phrase/word-final in Diegueño, as it is in Kalam.

³⁵ Initial stress (11iii) may be a later innovation of the prominence system.

³⁶ Blust (2003:152) highlights several unresolved problems raised by the Selau data. The most challenging, he suggests, is "...to find a reason why Selau, apparently alone among the more than 1000 Austronesian languages, has evolved a canonical shape which permits vowelless words..." But is Selau alone in having this property?

Mapos Buang (Hooley 1970; 2006), another Oceanic language spoken in the central part of the Snake River Valley in Morobe Province, Papua New Guinea, appears to have a very similar sound pattern. Consider Hooley's (2006:6) notes on the distributions of schwa in this language:

There is an open transition between consonants which manifests itself as a shewa in unstressed syllables, or as the lengthening of a continuant. Although this is non-contrastive it was decided to write it to prevent the frequent occurrence of strings of consonants or the potential confusion with digraphs. It also gave text a more "normal" appearance. The symbol used was *e*, but since shewa only occurs in unstressed syllables, Buang speakers do not confuse it with the full *e* of stressed syllables. Phonetically it is to be pronounced, but *it is an automatic non-contrastive feature of the sound system*. [Emphasis ours: JB&AP]

That stressed [ɛ] and unstressed [ə] (both written 'e') may, in some cases, be allophones is shown by alternations in pairs like *bed* [ˈm̩bˈeːnd] 'lean over, tilt', *beda* [ˈm̩b̩ə.ˈdɑ] 'converge, crowd together', as well as in distributional facts. For example, of the 143 dictionary entries beginning in /pe.../, all are unstressed [ə] except for two: *pedek* [pˈeːndək] 'the central base of the headdress into which the birds and feathers are fastened' and *peneng* [pˈeːnɛŋ] 'kind of bird'. This distribution would follow if presence of unstressable suffixes /-k/ and /-ŋ/ resulted in stress on the initial schwa, which under stress, is pronounced as [ɛ].

Some Mapos Buang words with only 'e' are shown below, as they look in Hooley's (2006) dictionary, and as they would look if all of Hooley's orthographic 'e's are omitted (this is close to Hooley's 1970 phonemic transcription, the only difference being that Hooley represents all surface stressed vowels in the underlying form).

<u>Hooley (2006)</u>	<u>without predictable vowels</u>	
belek	/blk/	'long hair'
besen	/bsn/	'groin, crotch'
melep	/mlp/	'soft, disintegrating'
jebɛŋ	/jbn/	'ko basket'
jeggevek	/jyvk/	'ko spear'
pedek	/pdk/	'central base of headdress'
peneng	/pnŋ/	'ko bird'

If Mapos Buang can be analysed, like Selau and Kalam, with predictable vowels absent in lexical representations, then Selau would not be the only Austronesian language with vowelless words.

It is noteworthy that Mapos Buang and Selau, the only Oceanic languages known to have words with no underlying vowels, are both located in (widely separated) regions where Papuan languages have long been spoken. Could vowel reduction in either Oceanic language be a consequence of historical contact with Papuan languages with similar sound patterns? Or, will future research reveal a structural property shared by earlier stages of both languages that has led to parallel language-internal developments? Future work on language contact history in the Papuan region and vowel reduction and loss in Proto-Oceanic may allow us to one day answer these questions.

³⁷ Here, we limit ourselves to predictable vowels in C# or CC contexts. For a thorough treatment of the evolution of vowels in V-C contexts, see Operstein (2007).